

Pólis/Cosmópolis

Identidades Globais & Locais

**Carmen Soares, Maria do Céu Fialho
& Thomas Figueira (coords.)**

IMPRESA DA UNIVERSIDADE DE COIMBRA
COIMBRA UNIVERSITY PRESS

ANNABLUME

DEFENSE AND DETERRENCE IN THE CONTEXT OF THE FOUNDATION OF THE DELIAN LEAGUE

THOMAS J. FIGUEIRA (figueira@rci.rutgers.edu)
Rutgers University (New Brunswick, New Jersey)

ABSTRACT— This contribution examines the plans of the Athenians and their allies at the foundation of the Delian League in 478 by exploring conjectural force structures. Likely Persian forces of c. 600 triremes could be anticipated. Persia had also demonstrated noteworthy capacity to mount closely successive expeditions and to recover from losses. Therefore, the Delian League was severely challenged to match ship for ship and to find the requisite manpower. Various methodologies yield both total and practicable allied fleets of different sizes (with total hulls touching 600 at highest estimate). Financial administration and tactical deployment discouraged small ship contingents for the allies in favor both of levying monetary tribute which funded the Athenian fleet and of manning its ships with personnel from smaller maritime allies. Hypotheses on the costs of allied forces can be proposed in order to understand the high initial Aristeidian assessment of the allies. Eurymedon represents a turning point, demonstrating how early preemptive deployment and confrontation far eastward from the Aegean by the Delian League compensated for superior Persian resources.

KEYWORDS: Athens, Delian League, Eurymedon, Greek navies, Persians, tribute and assessment

The bibliography on the foundation of the Delian League is voluminous, as scholars have grappled with controversies as varied as the league's purpose, organization, classification of allies, and the nature and scale of tribute in the early alliance.¹ The primary text is Thucydides (1.96.1-97.1).² I intend to take a different approach through a consideration of the parameters of the military mission that was undertaken by the Greeks who united in confederation with Athens in the early 470s.³ Let us start on the issue of force structure by considering the

¹ Main alternatives to the hypothesis on the tribute system offered below: 1) the assessment covered ship-contributing allies: e.g., *ATL* 3.234-7; 2) Cyprus was assessed: e.g., Meiggs 1972: 56-8; 3) the *phoros* constituted non-monetary services/payments in kind: e.g., Eddy 1968: 184-6; 4) the *aparkhai* lists do not reflect all the tribute collected: e.g., *HCT* 1.275-8; French 1972, 71-3; Unz 1985.

² Thuc. 1.96.1-2: [the Athenians] ἔταξαν ἅς τε ἔδει παρέχειν τῶν πόλεων χρήματα πρὸς τὸν βάρβαρον καὶ ἅς ναῦς πρόσχημα γὰρ ἦν ἀμύνεσθαι ὧν ἔπαθον δηρῶντας τὴν βασιλέως χώραν. καὶ Ἑλληνοταμίαι τότε πρώτον Ἀθηναίοις κατέστη ἀρχή, οἱ ἐδέχοντο τὸν φόρον οὕτω γὰρ ὠνομάσθη τῶν χρημάτων ἡ φορά. ἦν δ' ὁ πρώτος φόρος ταχθεὶς τετρακόσια τάλαντα καὶ ἐξήκοντα. ταμειῖόν τε Δῆλος ἦν αὐτοῖς, καὶ αἱ ξυνοδοὶ ἐς τὸ ἱερὸν ἐγίγνοντο.

³ I am drawing on a research project on the finances of 5th-century Athens, following up on Figueira 1998. See also Figueira 2003; 2005; 2006; forthcoming[b]; forthcoming[c].

adversary forces that Athens and its allies reasonably expected to face. Table I outlines the evidence for Persian expeditionary forces against the Greeks during our period. Traditionally, analysis of these mobilizations has focused on whether the data, much of which was transmitted through Herodotus and Ephorus, are correct. Going back to the 19th century, a lineage of formidable scholars has expressed substantial doubts over the factuality of such mobilizations, with particular suspicion falling on details of Xerxes' expedition in 480.⁴ Considerable ingenuity has been applied, for instance, in calculating whether hydrologic resources along his line of march would have provided sufficient fresh water for his vast host.⁵ Secondly, some doubts have also attached to the received figures for the invasion force of Datis that was eventually checked by Athens at the Battle of Marathon in 490.⁶

In thinking about the scale of the Persian threat in Greek eyes, however, our concern is not primarily the truth of such reports. Whether accurate or not, the received estimates shaped planning at the foundation of the Delian League regarding contingencies in defending Aegean Greece from Persian aggression.⁷ This point is especially probative, since there is no evidence that popular and expert opinion diverged significantly in this matter. Herodotus and Aeschylus reflect popular opinion, but both also had access to elite informants aware about military planning at higher levels of decision-making. In any event, Thucydides, who had held the office of *stratēgos*, was manifestly conversant with privileged military thinking at Athens. Yet he makes no effort to correct Herodotus about the scale of Xerxes' forces, and, in fact, has an Athenian speaker at Sparta (1.73.4-74.2), just before the outbreak of the Peloponnesian War, provide an estimate of the Attic contribution at Salamis that seems even higher than Herodotus (1.74.1). Moreover, critiquing any individual figure in our evidence, albeit seemingly inadequate, is less important than allowing the general magnitudes of these forces to impart a quantitative sense of the Greek intentions when forming the Delian League.

It is worth noting at the start that deployed forces, whether Greek or non-Greek, were always less than the "administrative" forces conceived in the minds of leaders (which have sometimes perhaps been transmitted in later historiography). This is particularly valid for Herodotus' likely use of renditions of Persian documents.⁸ Furthermore, intelligence and surveillance directed toward

⁴E.g., Macan 1908: 150-67; Wells 1923: 145-50; Munro 1926: 271-3, Hignett 1963: 350-5; Young 1981: 221-3; Lazenby 1993: 90-2; Cawkwell 2005: 237-54; Flower 2007.

⁵Maurice 1930: esp. 221-4.

⁶E.g., Hignett 1963: 58-9.

⁷Cawkwell 2005 offers a recent overview of this struggle, with pp. 126-38 dealing with our period.

⁸See Briant 2002: 197-200; Cawkwell 2005: 239-43.

an enemy's preparations could only be rather primitive in a classical military environment. Direct observers of varying expertise, care, and objectivity provided input about forces at the actual time of deployment. In that context, over-counting and duplication would probably have been more prevalent than underestimation. It may well be that by the 450s or 440s the Athenians had achieved a more realistic appraisal of the likely enemy forces. If that is so, it appears that their understanding was reflected in actual military dispositions and not used to "correct" the historical record of the earlier 5th century. Thus, the traditions on 480 and earlier Persian campaigns help to illuminate thinking during the first period of the alliance. Nonetheless, I should emphasize that 5th-century military planning was never so much a matter of how many, but rather how many at which place, and at which time.

Even if one discounts Xerxes' great expedition as a special effort, impossible to duplicate, the Persians often deployed considerable naval assets.⁹ An armada of 600 triremes seems to emerge as a realistic fleet for regular periodic deployment, although realizable force in battle may have fallen closer to 300 at mid-century. That total appears three times before the foundation of the Delian League. Indeed, Herodotus claims that Darios' force of 600 ships against Thrace was enumerated on *stelae* erected at Byzantion that contained rosters in both Aramaic and Greek which were later available for inspection (4.87.2). In principle, then, this muster of forces could be verified. Subsequently, a complement of 600 ships was also a total associated by the Attic local historian Phanodemos with Persian strength at the battle of Eurymedon in the early 460s (*FGH* 325 F22). While it is doubtful that 600 Persian warships faced allied forces together there, 600 ships might signify a mobilization toward which the Persians were building. The aggregation of their forces then was clearly preempted by the intervention of the Delian League fleet.

We can alternatively approach the Athenian and allied appraisal of the opposing military strength by examining the possible constituents of a Persian fleet to be mobilized against Greece after 478. According to Herodotus, Xerxes had mustered 600 Phoenician, Egyptian, and Cilician ships (7.89.1-90). Another 300 ships were supposedly gathered from Cyprus, Pamphylia, Caria, and Lycia (7.90-93). In 478, the Athenians and their Ionian allies might suppose that a forceful, preemptive showing in resistance to a future invasion might cut deeply into this mobilization in Asia Minor and Cyprus. A forward strategy might exclude the launching of such ships. Local rivalries there could probably drain off men and resources too. The Cypriot Greeks could be expected to show a lack of enthusiasm for Persia if only in the interest of their own autonomy. Their

⁹ The recent treatment of Cawkwell 2005: 254-73 explores underlying facts, not Greek perceptions.

resistance could tie down Phoenician Cypriots, otherwise prepared to support the Great King. In these eventualities, a Greek planner in 478 might be prepared to discount the contingents from Cyprus and southern Anatolia by 50%. Yet, even so, 150 ships might be a reasonable estimate for those available. That reduced levy would still add up to 750 vessels when combined with Phoenicians, Egyptians, and Cilicians. My point is not that this is perforce a realistic prediction for a modern scholar envisioning an expedition against Greece in the 470s or 460s. Rather, I emphasize that such a prediction was not an over-estimate of the enemy in terms of the data apparently available to an observer in 478. Therefore, when we imagine Greek planners in 478 formulating contingencies in terms of a Persian force of 600 triremes, their estimate of their adversary may already reflect a 20% offset from the 750 ships that their recent experience implied as a possible opposing force.

In our investigation of how the founders of the Delian League measured a potential enemy force, two more aspects of past Persian mobilizations are significant: 1) the ability of the Persians to recover from military adversity; and 2) the effect on Persian strength of distance linked with time. The pattern of military activity presented on Table I attributes to the Persians remarkable recuperative abilities. Evidently they were well able to withstand the incidental losses that modern scholars tend to ignore, that is, ongoing, but by no means negligible, losses of ships that occur during warfare through meteorological circumstances, accidents, mishandling, and low grade combat.¹⁰ Beyond weathering such losses, the Persians were also able to remediate the effects of major combat, such as the defeat at Cyprus or the battle of Lade, and natural catastrophes like the great storm at Mt. Athos in 492. As shall be noted shortly, Greek *poleis* did not possess such recuperative powers.¹¹ Thus, the balance of power offered risks to the Greeks on two levels of attrition. It seems from Herodotus' account of the Artemision campaign that they were vulnerable to a contest with the Persians of attritional rates in which Greek ability to inflict higher losses did not offset superior Persian numbers (cf. 8.16.3, 18). Nevertheless, there was a second Greek vulnerability in attrition because Persia could mount large expeditions into the Aegean at short intervals. As proof, note that Herodotus reports Dareios preparing to attack Greece with a huge force in the fourth year after Marathon, only preempted by a revolt in Egypt (7.1.1-3). Men and ships amassed against Greece were probably used by Xerxes to pacify Egypt (a revolt in Babylonia appears less consequential). Moreover, although two years later c. 300 ships were lost at Mt. Athos (Hdt. 6.44.3), Datis supposedly sailed against the Cyclades and

¹⁰ Isoc. 8.87 refers to ongoing incidental Attic losses in amounts of five or ten.

¹¹ Kimon's striking force at Eurymedon primarily comprised triremes built by Themistokles (Plut. *Cimon* 12.2), and hence of long service life.

mainland with 600 ships that had entered the Aegean as a single armada (Hdt. 6.95.1-2). Thus he embarked without drawing on levies from the east Greeks. Similarly, the Persians sent a fleet of 600 vessels against Ionia, the force that would prevail at Lade in 494, only a few years after the Ionian rebels had won a major victory at sea in the waters off Cyprus (Hdt. 5.112.1).

Naval warfare from the mid-19th century onward has been characterized by the application of mechanical energy, which allows a projection of power over long distances. Previously, expeditionary warfare worked under the serious limitation that a campaigner striking over distance could not easily be resupplied or reinforced. Naturally, this factor constrained Xerxes in 480; for one thing, once his fleet withdrew from homeland Greece after Salamis, he was compelled to withdraw a large portion of his land forces. However, the geography of the eastern Mediterranean disadvantaged Greek military prospects. Because of the political fragmentation of the Greeks and their littoral disposition, Persian advances into the Aegean tended to subtract rapidly from potential friendly forces and convert some (at least) of their ships into Persian assets. In the nightmare scenario of Xerxes' invasion, Herodotus reports 347 Greek ships from the Aegean and Propontis mobilized for the Persians. At the crucial moment of Salamis, the Aiginetans were withholding some ships in home defense (Hdt. 8.46.1). Under this perspective, estimates in 478 of the potential strength of the Persians ought to have been couched in terms of not only how many enemy ships might set sail, but also where they might be met. Thus, 350 Greek ships deployed against the Persians in Cyprus, Pamphylia, or Cilicia might have the same defensive capacity as 500-600 ships operating from the Peiraieus in the Saronic Gulf and western Cyclades.

By the same token, even a relatively modest separation had a disproportionate impact on military strength if that distance was in Greek home waters while facing a strong adversary. Observe the notable difference in ships between the initial Greek fleet at Artemision and the subsequent fleet there and then later at Salamis (Table II.2-3). Yet standing at Salamis gave a bare margin of victory, as any further retreat would have dissolved the Greek confederates into individual squadrons. These could have been easily mopped up by the Persians, as both Herodotus and Thucydides note (Hdt. 7.139.1-5; Thuc. 1.73.3-4).¹² Clearly, dozens of miles of distance along the southern coast of Anatolia could never have an impact anywhere approaching this crucial difference of a few miles in homeland Greece.

Such a calculation of military power focuses our attention on the related issue of time and force deployment. Ancient sources are often read to indicate that

¹² Even the anti-Athenian Corinthian speaker in Thuc. 1.69.5 observed that the Spartan reaction to the invasion was tardy and conceded how nearly run a matter victory was.

great numbers of ships from multiple origins converged precisely at rendezvous and then advanced *en masse* toward their military targets. This is hardly true in our age of radio communications, as the naval battles (like that of Leyte Gulf) in the Pacific theater during World War II demonstrated. In the 5th century, the coordination of hundreds of ships must have been a daunting proposition even for a steeply hierarchal, authoritarian state like Persia. Major battles took place toward the ends of campaigning seasons for the reason that it took spring and early summer to get forces into place. Deploying a hundred ships entailed the management of, at least, 20,000 men. Our source material on the Eurymedon campaign indicates how aggregation of squadrons in antiquity proceeded. A Persian fleet and land army had advanced to the Eurymedon River in Pamphylia (Table I.8). That both land and sea forces were together there suggests that the army did not intend to march toward Sardis in Lydia or toward the Hellespont, since such a force might then have diverged northward in Cilicia. The likely Persian target was Caria and Doris in southwestern Asia Minor, perhaps especially Kaunos, Rhodes, and Knidos. They intended to seize a base from which to operate in east Greece, possibly the next year after wintering over.¹³ The Persian commanders at Eurymedon were indeed awaiting concentration of other contingents with their main force. After Kimon's great victory on sea and land, he was able to surprise 80 Phoenician ships arriving as reinforcements at Cyprus (Plut. *Cimon* 12.4; 13.3). Moreover, no Egyptian ships are cited as present at Eurymedon, so that a squadron was likely expected from that satrapy as well. The account in Ctesias of the later Egyptian revolt of 459 seems to describe an initial Persian reaction by a sizable army, one unbelievably large, as so often in Ctesias, (*FGH* 688 F14[36]). Yet its accompanying naval contingent was 80 ships, which might actually have been a standing Persian naval force in Egypt. At that juncture, 50 of these were incapacitated, with 20 captured with crews and 30 destroyed.

In the Eurymedon campaign, the Persian rendezvous in Pamphylia may not yet have been the initial rallying point for this expedition. Xerxes' great armada had massed further east in Cilicia. Wallinga has offered an elaborate hypothesis in which Cilicia plays a special role in Persian naval affairs,¹⁴ pointing to an otherwise disproportionately moderate Cilician tribute. He ought not to be followed in imagining that a Cilician base served as arsenal and storage for hundreds of triremes. Nevertheless, the existence in Cilicia of supply depots for fleets intended to project Persian power toward Greece may not be inconceivable.

For the Greeks, we perceive similar difficulties in concentrating naval squadrons. A Corcyrean flotilla of 60 ships was supposedly delayed by adverse

¹³ [Plato] *Menex.* 241D describes Artaxerxes' intent as the conquest of Greece.

¹⁴ Wallinga 2005: 13-15.

winds from rounding Cape Malea in time to participate at Salamis (Hdt. 7.168.1-4). A certain reluctance to commit wholeheartedly to the cause of the Hellenic League was suspected as their motivation. Nonetheless, a genuine delay through factors beyond their control must have been sufficiently plausible that it was worth the Corcyrean effort in excusing themselves. Consequently, just as we have seen that a contingent of ships further east was more valuable militarily to the Delian League than a similarly sized contingent to its west, a body of warships early in the campaigning season was worth more than the same force later in the year. The coordination of large confederate forces that we perceive the Athenians making at Eurymedon and onward reveals their mastering of this relevant skill set.

To recapitulate, we have learned that Greek planners in the early 470s expected to face at least 600 Persian triremes. On the basis of previous Persian achievements, the build-up of this force might be thought to require c. 2-5 years. The dispatch of such a force into the Aegean basin ought not then have taken as long as a decade. The actual battle at Eurymedon probably occurred not earlier than 469 nor later than 466. From the vantage point of a planner in 478, a hypothetical Persian attack on the scale of Eurymedon should have happened years earlier. In other words, Eurymedon was late. A hint that this conclusion is not speculative emerges from the actions of the Naxians. At some point between 472 and 469, Naxos had recklessly defected from the Delian League, only to suffer a siege leading to surrender on unfavorable terms (Thuc. 1.98.4). Naxian foolhardiness in challenging Attic hegemony makes better sense if the Athenian allies were aware of Persian preparations already underway

Let us turn now to the other side of the planning equation in 478. What would a prudent strategist have considered a feasible force structure for the Delian League? Did any complement of triremes stand a reasonable chance of blunting another Persian effort at subduing Greece? Table II collects data about Greek naval potentialities during the early 5th century. Before considering this matter, however, preliminary discussion is in order about the development of early trireme navies. Our chief source is Thucydides, who takes pains both to emphasize the early introduction at Corinth and adoption at Samos of the trireme and to stress the late development of large all-trireme navies.¹⁵ His first large trireme navies belonged to the Sicilian tyrants and Corycreans, his unspecified criterion perhaps being 100 ships. Thucydides also mentions Ionian navies built up in confrontation with Persia, singling out Polykrates of Samos. According to Thucydides, the Aiginetan and Athenian navies were late developing. We can reconstruct the history of their naval competition. Aigina had an

¹⁵ Thuc. 1.13.1-4, 6; 14.2-3. See Figueira forthcoming[a].

early advantage, possessing perhaps 50 triremes as early as 520. By the early 480s, both *poleis* had c. 70 triremes, supplemented by *pentekontors*.

Themistokles' naval law broke this equilibrium, with 100 triremes in its first phase and perhaps 200 overall, using resources from the silver mines at Laurion. These ships defeated the Persians and saved Greece, as Herodotus observes forcefully (7.144.2-3). Continuation of ship building also explains the existence of more than 200 ships in Attic possession in 480. Herodotus has Athenian ships at Salamis numbering 180 (8.44.1). There were also 20 Attic ships manned by their allies from Chalcis in Euboia (8.46.2). Their total number was perhaps higher: the "Themistokles Decree" preserves a provision for 200 ships companies (*SGHI* 23.12-14), and as a step taken early in the process of mobilization that probably represents a minimum, without supplemental crews like the Chalcidians. A speaker in Thucydides speaks of an Attic contribution of a little less than two-thirds of 400 ships (1.74.1).¹⁶ Let us estimate this force in the range of 230 to 260 triremes.¹⁷ The evidence on Attic ship-building programs is weak, but sources imply later additions to the fleet of 10-20 triremes annually.¹⁸ Hence, unsurprisingly figures of 200 or 250 Athenian ships appear several times after the founding of the Delian League (Table II.6-8). Even this larger number implies a notable reserve at home, since the Peiraieus and Saronic Gulf could never be left entirely unguarded.

For comparison, Athens possessed 300 seaworthy warships in 431; the qualification *πλωίμους* 'seaworthy' in Thucydides implies others in construction, storage, or salvageable (2.13.8; cf. Arist. *Ach.* 544-5; Xen. *Anab.* 7.1.27). A distinction between deployable ships and every hull in Athenian possession is also illustrated by two references to resources during the Peace of Nikias, or 421 to 415. Both Andocides (3.9) and Aeschines (2.175) derive material from a common historical source (Hellanicus, an Atthidographer, or a pamphleteer). This authority enumerated two types of warships, of which 400 represented all the hulls under Attic control and 300 the total vessels available for immediate service. Thucydides specifies the height of Attic naval power during the Archidamian War in 430 or 428 (3.17.1-2).¹⁹ Athens actually had 250 triremes manned in this campaigning season, a total not taking into account 100 best-sailing triremes, kept aside each year as an "iron" reserve (Thuc. 2.24.2: for use only if an enemy fleet was sailing against Attica itself). Counting every vessel in

¹⁶ The phrasing is difficult in interpretation, cf. *HCT* 1.234-5; Walters 1981; Wankel 1983.

¹⁷ Moreover, captures from the Persians may have more than offset losses (Table I.7).

¹⁸ *DS* 11.43.3; *PStrasbourg* 84 = *ATL* 1, T9, 2, D 13 = *Anon. Argentinensis* 10-11. Andoc. 3.3-5 has perhaps 100 new ships in 5 years around mid-century. See Blackman 1969: 202-12.

¹⁹ This passage has been questioned in authenticity and placement, but, while redating to 430 is an option, its character as Thucydidean may be accepted. See Gomme *HCT* 2.372-77; Hornblower *CT* 400-1.

any condition, the upper end of the Athenian fleet before the debacle at Syracuse seems to have hovered around 350-400 triremes. Note also the number of trierarchs appointed annually was 400 ([Xen.] *Ath. Pol.* 3.4). However, there was probably a point after which it was no longer productive to add further triremes without taking older ships out of circulation. The real limits on the size of the Delian League fleet were set by manpower and logistics. Even if the alliance could have procured 600 ships in the 470s to match the Persians trireme for trireme, they probably could not have manned them.

As for the allied force which the Athenians intended to fuse with their own navy, our judgment is difficult. Herodotus' force totals for Lade are our first valuable data (Table II.1). The newly liberated Ionians and Aiolians of 478 were probably no longer able to match their earlier commitments to the Ionian revolt in the 490s. However, they still held the survivors of their fleets of 480, whose ships had been supplied by the Great King (DS 11.3.7) in the sense that he had ordered their building out of the tribute ordinarily paid by the Ionians. Chios had suffered greatly at Lade and in its aftermath (Hdt. 6.15.1-27.3). It had been ravaged by Histaios of Miletos, who had also attacked and seized Lesbos (6.26.1-2). Miletos had provided eighty ships at Lade. Moreover, it may be thought that the fifteen ships from Priene and Myous are to be considered part of the Milesian contribution, as these two cities are otherwise attested as dependencies of Miletos.²⁰ Thereafter, Miletos had been besieged and sacked, with much of its population dead or deported (6.18-21). Samos had been afflicted earlier (c. 520), especially in an *andrapodismos* at Persian hands c. 510 (Hdt. 3.149; cf. 6.25). It had suffered less at Lade, where most Samian ships had quickly fled (6.14.2-3). Thus, its contribution of sixty may not have experienced the same erosion as that of the Chians, Milesians, and perhaps the Lesbians. Unsurprisingly, Xerxes had only 100 Ionian triremes with him during the Salamis campaign (Table I.7), which reflects the falling off from the 283 Ionian ships at Lade. And there must have been significant losses among these Ionians at Salamis and Mykale. Xerxes had 100 Aiolian ships, which might show less reduction than the Ionian complement because there were 70 Lesbian ships at Lade. A portion of the 100 Aiolian ships with Xerxes were from Kyme and the towns of the Troad. The latter were probably not participants in the Delian League at its inception. One supposes that the majority of Xerxes' 30 Dorian ships were from Rhodes. Rhodians had not fought at Lade.

The major insular allied states of the east Greeks recovered their economic vitality and military power in the second half of the 5th century. During the

²⁰ The Milesian dispute with Samos over Priene seems to establish an earlier Milesian hegemony there (Thuc. 1.115.2). For Myous, note its Hellenistic (re)absorption by Miletos (cf. Rhodes/Osborne *GHI* 16). See Rubenstein 2004: 1092, 1089.

prosperous years of the *pentekontaeteia*, the levels of the early 490s were probably reached or exceeded, as scattered testimonia appear to indicate.²¹ The Egyptian expedition, the Samian and Lesbian revolts, and the Syracusan campaign provide our evidence. Samos is the only ally for which conjecture is possible about its naval strength in the early Delian League. Two inscriptions found at Samos celebrate the Samian contribution to the early fighting of the Egyptian campaign c. 459 (*IG* XII.6 279, 468). A notable exploit is reported in which the Samian contingent of the Greek fleet captured 15 Phoenician triremes (*IG* XII.6 279.3-4). That suggests about 25 to 30 Samian ships as a part of the 200-ship Greek expedition. The Samians could still mobilize 70 ships in the first main battle of their revolt against Athens in 440/39, after some earlier mischances (*Thuc.* 1.116.1).

In the same revolt, Athens summoned aid from Chios and Lesbos and was quickly supported by 25 Chian and Lesbian triremes, an impressive mobilization on short notice for a campaign against another Greek city (1.116.2). Thirty Chian and Lesbian ships are later noted (1.117.2), and these would be further reinforcements. At the time of the revolt of Mytilene in 428, the Athenians interned 10 Mytilenaeon triremes on first rumors of sedition (*Thuc.* 3.3.4). Mytilene was surprised by the leaking of its intentions to the Athenians and had not completed their preparations for revolt, including equipping additional ships. When Athens sent a force of 40 triremes in a surprise intervention (3.3.2-3), the Mytilenaeans sought a negotiated settlement (3.4.4-5). Notably, the Athenians were not confident that their 40 ships could prevail in an engagement. That suggests that they were outnumbered by the remaining Mytilenaeon warships. Chios had many available ships during the Peloponnesian War. Fifty Chian and Lesbian ships participated in the expeditions both of Perikles and of Hagnon and Kleopompos of 430 (*Thuc.* 2.56.2, 6.31.2). Thirty-four allied ships went to Sicily in 416 (6.43), of which the majority was Chian (6.85.2; 7.57.4-5). And another five Chian ships later sailed in the second expedition with Demosthenes (7.20.2). Yet, at the time of its revolt (412), Chios still had at least 60 triremes (8.6.4), though those sent to Sicily had never returned.

Some states in the Hellespontine region, like Byzantion, probably stood aloof from the early alliance and there were relatively few ship contributors in the area. Xerxes' 100 triremes from the Hellespont thus seem exaggerated, or the complement was perhaps owed to Persian subsidy and construction efforts (*Hdt.* 7.95.2). Xerxes' contingent from the Greek islands was only 17, while the Hellenic League got the help of 23 ships, as augmented by defectors and including 5 *pentekontors* (7.95.1; Table II.3). Herodotus gives us no figure for the Persian demands made upon the Thracian Greeks, but the Delian League would

²¹ Figueira 1998: 79, 481-2.

certainly have had expectations of help there, starting with Thasos. Kimon would later take 33 of their triremes in battle during the Thasian revolt (Plut. *Cimon* 14.2). Let us suggest a 40-warship levy for Thrace and 30 ships for each of the two other regions, the Hellespont and the islands.

Thus, one might expect that the Athenians planned for a naval force of 250 to 300 of their own triremes, with 350 vessels as an outside upper limit. This is a total force and not one for practical deployment anywhere *en masse*. There are two ways to consider the total allied contribution accommodating this Attic force structure. First, for the Herodotean ship total of 378 for Salamis, the Attic contribution would be c. 53%. If the Athenians intended to imitate this successful fleet, an allied force of 47% can then be supposed. For Attic fleets of 250, 300, and 350, allied forces of 222, 266, and 310 are created, and total Delian League fleets of 472, 566, and 660. Second, the Athenian speaker at Sparta in Thucydides, who might perhaps be thought to have reason to exaggerate, refers to an Attic force of a little less than two-thirds. Could he be speaking under the influence of Attic practice during the Delian League, when the two-thirds Attic to a one-third allied split had become conventional?²² Thus, his anachronism actually helps our analysis. Therefore, for Attic fleets of 250, 300, and 350, this ratio would yield 125, 150, and 175 allied ships and total fleets of 375, 450, and 525. Or, alternatively, we could add to an Athenian fleet of whatever size appropriate the number of allied ships that our previous discussion has proposed. Forty Thasian and other Thracian ships, 30 from the Cyclades, 30 from the Hellespont, 30 Rhodian ships, and 150 ships from the Samians, Lesbians, and Chians. That suggests a non-Athenian allied fleet in the Delian League that numbered 280 hulls or a total force of 530 to 630.

Once we begin to speculate about the nature of the Delian League fleet, we unsurprisingly generate force structures that balance the 600-ship fleets that appear in our records for the Persians. However, several points are to be stressed: 1) it was inconceivable for the Greeks to match the total maritime resources of the Persians; 2) the Delian League fleet approximated an armada fighting in the Aegean, i.e., in home waters, but that was the very setting which the allies wanted to avoid; 3) small naval contributors were to be discouraged while personal service and ship contribution were changing to tribute; and 4) manning the Delian League fleet would be challenging. Fortunately, for the Athenians and their allies, a smaller force for fighting in Pamphylia, Cyprus, or Egypt was equivalent to 600 triremes in their own environs. Thus, Kimon left Attica with 200 ships, and by the time he united with allied flotillas, he had 300; and the

²² Note how DS 11.60.3 explicitly reports the ratio of 100 allied ships for 200 Attic ships at Eurymedon. The disagreement of our sources over fleet sizes of 200 ships or 300 ships for the Egyptian campaign and Kimon's final Cypriot expedition (Table II.7-8) may derive from the misunderstanding of this same 1:2 ratio.

total for the campaign might have been 350 (Table II.6). Both Athens and allies had to retain ships for home defense, the need for which was made manifest after Eurymedon by a closely ensuing Persian strike against the Chersonese (Plut. *Cimon* 14.1)

Turning next to the subject of small allies and large allies, we must remember that the Athenians would not have sought to maximize the number of available ships from lesser naval powers. It was preferable that certain maritime communities provide funds instead. In this, the Hellenic League did not provide a model, but rather an approach to be rejected. As far as known from Hellenic League operations, its members were self-policing in ship provision. The Athenians and Aiginetans seem to have completely controlled their changing contributions at Artemision and Salamis, with the final Aiginetan reinforcements an unexpected benefit for the allies.²³ Moreover, operations under the Spartan navarch, Eurybiadas, were conducted by a council of war composed of all contingent commanders. If similar patterns had prevailed for the Delian League, one could envisage a fleet constituted from many differently scaled squadrons. Some of these would be in tiny units resembling the Ionian fleet at Lade (which had contributed to defeat) or at Artemision and Salamis. Such an approach manifestly leaves much to be desired in terms of military efficiency. The Delian League fleets were commanded by Athenian *stratēgoi*. A council of war, limited to squadron commanders actually present, may have been consulted, but the Athenian generals seem to have managed tactical deployments. During Kimon's final Cypriot campaign of 451/0, his fellow *stratēgoi* were able to keep his death a secret for a month (Plut. *Cimon* 19.2; Phanodemos *FGH* 325 F23). That is indicative of a very tight command circle.

Moreover, small states in all periods are always much less efficient in generating military power since the cost for the first units of any technology, be it tanks, jet fighters, frigates, or triremes, is so high. It is known from galley warfare in different periods that oared warships are optimally packaged in units from 40 to 55.²⁴ Therefore, squadrons of c. 50 triremes would have to be amassed from a group of smaller *poleis*, those supplying 10 ships or less. Yet, Herodotus' account of the battle of Salamis seems to show that almost all the damage done the enemy was meted out by the large Athenian and Aiginetan forces (Hdt. 8.86, 91-93.2). The Corinthian role in the battle was controversial. As the *polis* supplying the third largest detachment, their performance was significant. While Herodotus shares an Attic report of their withdrawal without

²³ See Figueira forthcoming[d].

²⁴ Guilmartin 2002, 119; 2003, 215-17. In the early Delian League, 5 entities (Athens, Chios, Lesbos, Samos, and Thasos) would have provided over 75% of the combatant vessels. In the fleet of 250-350 at Eurymedon, for example, one small unit might have accommodated all the smaller ship-contributors.

fighting (8.94.1-4), he also reports their denial of this (8.94.4). The author of *De malignitate Herodoti* reports heroic fighting (*Mor.* 870B-871C).

This background material helps us appreciate the monumental departure marked by the organization of the Delian League. From the start Athens possessed the sole right to decide which allies would contribute ships and which would pay *phoros* (Thuc. 1.96.1). During the whole history of the alliance, the Athenians also held exclusive authority for assessing tribute. It is unknown whether this function was first exercised by the *stratēgoi* or by boards of *taktai* ‘assessors’. The tradition on the first assessment focuses solely on the justice of the Attic statesman Aristeides, but he can hardly have wielded such power without colleagues.²⁵ Thucydides states that the main cause of revolts and dissension in the early alliance was Athenian rigor in enforcing obligations for tribute or service with ships (1.99.1-3). Plutarch’s *Cimon* confirms this, although approaching from an opposite tangent: Kimon was allegedly gentle with delinquent allies by accepting contributions and empty ships in lieu of service (11.1-3).²⁶ Giving Athens sole control of the largest transfer of revenue in Greek politics tilted the essence of the league radically toward Athenian hegemonism at its start. It is almost superfluous to note the adage that power to tax is power to destroy.²⁷ By giving Athens so much power at the start, the allies showed the intensity of their fear of the Persians.

Each trireme ideally requires 200 oarsmen and other personnel, if one opts for a modest contingent of 10 *epibatai* ‘marines’, i.e., the infantrymen who fight from the deck. A fleet of 250 ships needs 50,000 men; 300 ships, 60,000; 350 ships, 70,000. Alternatively, if one imagines a more realistic contingent of 30 *epibatai*, split between 20 hoplites and 10 light troops, archers or peltasts, every 100 ships requires another 2,000 infantry.²⁸ These numbers are remarkable, considering the actual manpower of Athens in 480. They were hard-pressed to fill their ships during Xerxes’ invasion, so much so that they manned 20 triremes with Chalcidians and supplemented other crews with Plataians, who were available at Artemision but late arriving for Salamis. They also probably freed all able-bodied slaves. Moreover, the Themistokles Decree relates the forming of two hundred ships’ complements under trierarchs but only assigns 100 men to each unit (*SGHI*

²⁵ [Andoc.] 4.11; Dem. 23.209; Aesch. 3.258; Nepos *Arist.* 3.1-3; DS 11.47.1-3; Plut. *Arist.* 24.1-7, cf. 26.3; Paus. 8.52.2; Ael. *VH* 11.9. Cf. Thuc. 1.96.1-2; *Abb. Pol.* 23.5; Aristod. *FGH* 104 F 7. See Figueira forthcoming[b].

²⁶ On Kimon’s naval policies: Blackman 1969: 188-9; Boffo 1975; Steinbrecher 1985: 98-115.

²⁷ This idea was raised by Daniel Webster, arguing before the US Supreme Court in *McCulloch v. Maryland* (17 U.S. 327) in 1819, and given its classic formulation by Chief Justice John Marshall in his decision in the case.

²⁸ This complement compromises between the minimal complement of 10 hoplites and 4 archers of the “Themistokles Decree” (*SGHI* 23.23-6) or 14 hoplites and four archers (Plut. *Them.* 14.1) and the Chians at Lade with 40 *epibatai* (Hdt. 6.15.1), Persians at Artemision with 30 (Hdt. 7.184.2), and Corcyreans at Sybota (Thuc. 1.49.1). Even later 5th century troop-carrying triremes could carry complements like the 45 hoplites, 10 archers, and 10 peltasts of *IG I*³ 60.

23.31-5). This may have been a muster of thetes, the lowest census class, since 20,000 later seems to be a conventional number for them (e.g. Arist. *Wasps* 709-11). These complements of 100 were later augmented with hoplitic *zeugitai*, metics, younger and older males, freed slaves, and Plataians. The difficulties become apparent if we try a thought experiment. If each ship had 10 hoplite *epibatai*, as specified in the “Themistokles Decree”, 200 ships require 2,000 *epibatai* (besides the 800 non-hoplite archers). There were c. 10,000 Attic hoplites at Marathon, so that 8,000 would be left to supplement ships’ crews. Thus, each trireme would have its original allotment of 100 supplemented by only 40, and still be left 40 rowers short. That leaves another 8,000 to make up from other sources. At some point the Chalkidians took over 20 triremes allowing the Athenians to reassign 2000 original sailors. Yet the ships may still have been undermanned.

Furthermore, this Athenian manpower deficiency existed despite access to the whole community for conscription. The depth of Xerxes’ penetration into Greece carried with it the seeds of his defeat because, by over-running Attica, he ensured that every Athenian male above the age of puberty was freed from all other activities. Except for any who chose simply to abscond, all were available to man ships. The founders of the Delian League naturally could not plan on total suspension of the Athenian economy as a regular feature of future resistance to Persia. True, they may well have expected to draw many away for other activities at times of intense operations, but some semblance of normal existence had to continue in Attica. In the moment of Salamis, Athens had achieved a rare existential state, total mobilization for survival of the *polis*, a *polis* that was a virtual city because actual Attica was occupied. That moment was essentially beyond duplication.

As already seen, distant deployments of a large numbers of warships took place during the league’s anti-Persian campaigns. The Athenians had to evolve toward professionalization of military service as a solution to manpower problems. In this new order, naval service became a regular salaried career and a minimum level of activation was maintained annually, with preference for Athenian citizens in order to sustain a pool of qualified personnel. The *Athēnaion Politeia* mentions 20 guard ships (24.3). Plutarch refers to a practice where 60 triremes were manned for eight months annually, with priority given to citizens (*Per.* 11.4). In the naval profession, metics and *xenoi* eventually served alongside citizens. This arrangement probably did not exist in the early alliance, which is another reason why the division of the allies into tribute payers and those serving in person was fundamental. The demobilized sailors and soldiers from the smaller allied cities thereby became available to row the triremes of Athens and the larger allied states. This is perhaps why we find several smaller cities from the Cyclades missing from the early tribute lists in the late 450s.²⁹ They were too small to provide ships usefully, but they could provide crews.

²⁹ Cf. *ATL* 3.250; Lewis 1984; Wallace & Figueira 2010: 65; Rutishauer 2012: 94.

The scale of the fleets and mobilizations of the early Delian League sheds light on the tribute requirements of the alliance. As a matter of logistics, sailors needed money in order to embark with a stock of food, money to leave behind with their families, and money to purchase more supplies as operations continued. Even later in the century, at a time of greater administrative sophistication, Attic forces still depended on groups of private vessels under the control of merchants which aided in supply.³⁰ No administrative apparatus was in place in 478 to handle the departure of a large fleet. The larger the mobilization the less possible it was for crews to live off their areas of operations or even to purchase supplies from cooperative towns. Therefore, even in the dire emergency of the evacuation of Attica in 480 and total Athenian mobilization, money had to be found to disburse to those sailing. Who found and conveyed these funds became controversial in later Attic historiography. Partisans claimed both for Themistokles and for the Areiopagos the credit for providing an 8-drachma stipend to embarking sailors (*Ath. Pol.* 23.1; Plut. *Them.* 10.6-7; Cleidemus *FGH* 323 F1).³¹ Once *poleis* had to utilize for ships' crews persons poorer than persons of affluence or smallholders, the zeugitic or hoplitic class and above at Athens and its counterparts elsewhere, in other words persons who could subsidize (at least) modest, short-term service from their estates, subsistence support for military personnel was crucial.

Let us then consider what an 8-drachma *per* man launching cost implies about the finances of the Delian League. Table III organizes such costs for forces of various sizes at two modest rates of subsistence (an obol or 2 obols daily; cf. Plut. *Them.* 10.3). Observe that we assume that the 8-drachma launching payment sustains operations for the first month. For example, to launch a 300-trireme fleet costs 80T. If allied states faced the same constraints as Athens, a 200-trireme allied fleet to accompany the Athenians requires 53T, 2000 dr. These are colossal sums of money in the context of the early 470s. That the early campaigning of the Delian League could have been very expensive is demonstrated by the huge cost of the later effort (440-39) to suppress the Samians, which cost 1200T.³² French argued that this constituted an 8-10 months deployment of 215 triremes (over two years) and supporting troops.³³ Admittedly these costs reflect some inflation and the professionalization of allied forces, and the calculations to reach them are somewhat speculative. Yet the Samian War does portray well the immense expense of two years of intense mobilization.

The express purpose of the Delian League was to retaliate against the Great King by raiding his territory (Thuc. 1.96.1), a rationale that militated in favor

³⁰ See Figueira 1998: 261-2.

³¹ See Rhodes 1993: 288-90; Figueira 2011: 199-203.

³² Isoc. 15.111; DS 12.28.3; Nepos *Timoth.* 1; comparing *IG I³* 363.5, 12, 17, 19.

³³ French 1972: 56.

of costly annual campaigning during the early years of the league. Even if there had not been occasions to liberate Greek *poleis*, expel Persian garrisons, and uproot pro-Persian tyrants, annual campaigning suited disparately the interests of the Athenians, major allies, and tributaries. Thereby the Athenians instilled obedience to their officials; the stronger allies exerted control over former dependencies (especially mainland *peraiai*); and the tributary allies reinforced the premise that the alliance was committed to defend even lesser Greek communities. There was always the danger that the Athenians would become distracted by relations in homeland Greece, including regional feuds with enemies like Thebes, Aigina, and Megara. The goals and structure of the early alliance were promoted by the east Greeks, who took the initiative to keep the Athenians engaged in order to keep the Persians out (Thuc. 1.75.2; 95.1, 4; 96.1). Yearly campaigning brought to the foreground the aforesaid challenge of sustainability.

Hence, another strong motivation for the creation of the class of tributaries was to provide resources to sustain the manning, equipping, replenishing, and replacing of the forces of the league. That last factor must be remembered, because the allies had to anticipate replacing the losses of each campaign before the next. Naturally, the Athenians could not depend much on the mining revenues from Laureion for the near term. One also suspects that some Ionian triremes had been built in the years of their earlier revolt and might soon require replacement. Thus, the Athenians were authorized to assign allied states to the ranks of the tributaries. The alliance was not and could not be organized on the principle that all those willing to serve be permitted to serve regardless of their preparedness or suitability. Despite the professed autonomy of the original allies, which we know from Thucydides (1.97.1), some cities that probably would have chosen to contribute ships were not allowed to do so.

Thus, in this context, some mysteries of the first, Aristeidian, assessment receive clarification. Intense fear of Persian counterattack and the required magnitude of the naval mobilization, which has just been outlined, led to an assessment of 460T, a very high amount in its context. Later, the Battle of Eurymedon would be a vindication of the organization of the alliance. In its aftermath, a larger alliance after 454/3 faced a less acute threat, and its annual burden was lower, somewhat exceeding 400T. Aristeides' acclaim can thus be seen to have resided in his equity in undertaking a daunting, if not impossible mission, in trying to find resources for anticipated standing fleets of 500-600 triremes and expeditionary forces of 300-350 triremes. Though I cannot argue my case exhaustively, the *dasmos* of the hated Persians, a direct tax, is unlikely to have been taken over by Aristeides. Rather, Aristeides and his collaborators carefully reviewed and compared the revenues from the existing indirect taxes (like harbor duties) of the allied states and calculated assessments that seemed equitable in cross comparison, despite their total reaching a huge amount of silver. This exercise probably still fell short of matching the Persians, man for

man, ship for ship, and perhaps even of reaching their planned mobilizations (described above). Concomitantly, it may well have seemed quite infeasible to allocate shares among the tributary states of an unreasonably large (and rather abstract) total amount of money and men. Moreover, such a painful obligation would hardly have been celebrated except for the fear and loathing that Persian domination inspired in those Greeks who had experienced it. Yet the first assessment sustained annual well-subsidized operations by Athens and its better qualified allies on expeditions that took proactive strategies. Forward action was itself the result of a “virtuous” cycle in which incrementally higher quality and better subsidized forces could afford to operate farther from Attica and with smaller numbers of warships. After Eurymedon, a more manageable need for military funds was folded into a calculation of τὸ ἰκνούμενον ἀνάλωμα ‘the expense that was incumbent’ on each ally (Thuc. 1.99.3).

In conclusion, let us consider which evolution of the *phoros* of the Delian League offers a suitable context for this vision of threat assessment in the early years of the alliance. Naturally, I shall limit myself to a statement of results from other research, some of it still in preparation. The alliance had c. 100 (or less) tributary members in the early 470s and c. 25 *poleis* contributed ships with personnel.³⁴ By the beginning of the tribute lists only the Lesbians in their five cities, the Chians, and the Samians remained non-tributary. Many later tributaries were dependencies of non-tributary states in the early alliance, and, therefore, also effectively non-tributary. The relative average burden on each tributary in the early alliance was approximately 200-250% as heavy as at mid-century. Assessments started high and moderated as the Persian threat receded after the battle of Eurymedon. My student Aaron Hershkowitz has created a data base that covers all attested tribute payments. This data base has significant value for modeling various scenarios for the evolution of the Attic alliance. It has already yielded an important paper by Hershkowitz that addresses changes in assessment.³⁵ He has confirmed the strong tendency of the assessment process toward reducing tribute from 454 to 431. In this period, the total assessment hovered around 400T. It appears that, as Athens added tributaries through the break-up of larger ship contributors, through new accessions to the alliance, and through commutations to tributary status, some existing assessments were more likely to be reduced in cases where it was worthwhile for tributary to mount a campaign for a reduction. The majority of smaller tributaries had stable assessments, while only a few large states were tempting targets for increases.

³⁴ Islands: Andros, Naxos, and Paros; Ionia: Chios, Erythrai, Kyme, Lesbos (Antissa, Eresos, Methymna, Mytilene, and Pyrrha), Miletos, and Samos; Hellespont: Khersonesitai, Lampsakos, and Perinthos; Thrace: Abdera, Ainos, Mende, Poteidaia, and Torone. Caria: Rhodes (Ialysos, Kameiros, and Lindos).

³⁵ See Hershkowitz forthcoming.

However, memory of the higher Aristeidian assessments was preserved in Athenian institutional memory. When the Peloponnesian War depleted the reserve fund and an intensified tempo of operations was thought necessary against the Spartans, the Athenians, through the decree of Thoudippos (*IG I³ 71*), appear to have returned to assessments in the spirit of the initial Aristeidian valuation (2-3 times higher). Thus, the tradition of higher assessments justified rigorous exploitation of the allies during the Archidamian War. And later again, when a compromise was needed to establish the Peace of Nikias, a reference to the 'Aristeidian *phoros*' was included in its provisions, as appears in a verbatim citation of Thucydides (5.18.5-6). The Athenians had wanted Sparta to surrender a small group of Thracian cities to restore the *status quo ante bellum*, but Sparta lacked the ability and the stomach to do so.³⁶ A deal was reached that these cities would be autonomous and immune from Athenian invasion if they paid Athens the 'Aristeidian *phoros*', although it is unlikely that some (or indeed any) of these states had even belonged to the alliance when Aristeides made his first assessment. This created an anomalous status between defection to Sparta and reincorporation among Athenian subject tributaries. Furthermore, the 'Aristeidian *phoros*' also connoted in contemporary polemics the current level of assessment which the Athenians held both necessary and fair. Thus, in its last years – the tribute system was superseded in 413 by the 5% import/export tax – the *phoros* of the Athenian allies came full circle from the existential threat of Persian domination to the peril of Spartan victory and an anti-democratic upheaval.

³⁶ See Figueira forthcoming[b]

TABLE I: PERSIAN MOBILIZATIONS OF THE FIFTH CENTURY

Date/ Occasion	Force Size	Contingents	Outcome
1) c. 512: Darius' Balkan Campaign	600 ships (Hdt. 4.87.1)	Assorted allies including Phoenicians and Eastern Greeks	Only attritional losses?
2) c. 499: Campaign against Naxos	200 ships (Hdt. 5.31.3-4, 32)	Ionian and Aeolian Greeks (cf. Hdt. 5.37.1-38.2)	
3) c. 496: Persian fleet to Cyprus	"a great army with ships" (Hdt. 5.108.1)	Phoenicians	Badly defeated by Ionians (Hdt. 5.112.1)
4) c. 494: Fleet facing the Ionian rebels at Lade	600 ships (Hdt. 6.9.1)	Phoenicians and other non-Greeks	The Chians τῶν πολεμίων ἐλόντες νέας συχνὰς (Hdt. 6.15.2)
5) c. 492: Mardonios operates in Thrace	300+ ships (Hdt. 6.44.3)	Phoenicians and other non-Greeks	c. 300 destroyed in storm at Athos (Hdt 6.44.3)
6) 490: Datis' Campaign against Naxos, Euboea, and Attica	600 ships (Hdt. 6.95.1-2)	Phoenicians and other non-Greeks	Seven ships at Marathon (Hdt. 6.115) and attritional losses

<p>7) 480: Xerxes campaign against Greece</p>	<p>1000 triremes (Aes. <i>Persae</i> 341-43) 1207 triremes: (Hdt. 7.89.1, 184.1; cf. DS 11.3.7) 1000 ships (Ctesias <i>FGH</i> 688 F12[27])</p>	<p>300 Phoenician ships (Hdt. 7.89.1; cf. DS 11.3.7) 200 Egyptian ships (Hdt. 7.89.2; cf. DS 11.3.7) 100 Cilician ships (Hdt. (Hdt. 7.90) 80 Cilician ships (DS 11.3.7) 150 Cypriot ships (Hdt. 7.90; cf. DS 11.3.7) 30 Pamphylian ships (Hdt. 7.91) 40 Pamphylian ships (DS 11.3.7) 50 Lycian ships (Hdt. 7.92) 40 Lycian ships (DS 11.3.7) 70 Carian ships (Hdt. 7.93) 80 Carian ships (DS 11.3.7) 320 Greek warships (DS 11.3.7) 30 Dorian ships (Hdt. 7.93) 40 Dorian ships (DS 11.3.8) 100 Ionian ships (Hdt. 7.94) 17 <i>nēsiotic</i> ships (Hdt. 7.95.1) 50 <i>nēsiotic</i> ships (DS 11.3.8) 100 Aiolian ships (Hdt. 7.95.1) 40 Aiolian ships (DS 11.3.8) 100 Hellespontine Greek ships (Hdt. 7.95.2) 80 Hellespontine & Pontic ships (DS 11.3.8)</p>	<p>400 ships lost to storm at Magnesia (Hdt. 7.188.1-190) 200 ships lost to storm at Hollows of Euboia (Hdt. 8.7.12; 131.4.2) 15 ships seized before the battle of Artemision (Hdt. 7.194.1-3) Many ships (including 30 taken the 1st day) lost at Artemision (Hdt. 8.11.2, 14.2, 16.3) Many ships destroyed at Salamis (Hdt. 8.86, 89.2; cf. 84-93.2) 100 ships destroyed (Dem. 14.29) Most of the remainder burnt at Mykale after withdrawal of the Phoenicians (Hdt. 9.106.1) 500 ships destroyed (Ctesias <i>FGH</i> 688 F12[30])</p>
---	---	--	---

<p>8) c. 468-66: Eurymedon Campaign</p>	<p>340 ships (Ephorus <i>FGH</i> 70 F 191, fr. 9-10, 192; DS 11.60.67; Plut. <i>Cimon</i> 12.5 [350 Ships]) + 80-ship Phoenician reinforce- ment from Cyprus (Plut. <i>Cimon</i> 12.4, 13.3) 600 ships (Pha- nodemos <i>FGH</i> 325 F 22 [Plut. <i>Cimon</i> 12.5])</p>	<p>80-ship Phoenician rein- forcement from Cyprus (Plut. <i>Cimon</i> 12.4, 13.3)</p>	<p>200 ships taken or destroyed (Thuc. 1.100.1) 100 ships captured (Lyc. 1.72; Aris- tod. <i>FGH</i> 104 F11.2;) 200 ships taken and the 80 reinforce- ments (Plut. <i>Cimon</i> 12.6; Nepos <i>Cimon</i> 2.2) Many ships lost; 100+ with their crews; others empty (<i>FGH</i> 70 F 191, fr. 9-10; DS 11.60.67 340 ships taken: DS 11.62.1</p>
<p>9) c. 454: Sup- pression of the Egyptian revolt</p>	<p>300 ships: Ktesias <i>Per- sica</i> <i>FGH</i>, F14[37]; DS 11.77.1</p>		<p>Only attritional losses?</p>
<p>10) c. 451: Kimon's Last Cypriot Campaign</p>	<p>300 ships: DS 12.3.2</p>		<p>100 ships captured with their men and many others sunk (DS 12.3.3-4)</p>

TABLE II: NOTABLE GREEK MOBILIZATIONS OF THE FIFTH CENTURY

Date/ Occasion	Force Size	Contingents	Outcome
1) c. 494: Fleet facing the Persians at Lade	353: Hdt. 6.8.1-2	Miletos: 80 ships Priene: 12 ships Myous: 3 ships Teos: 17 ships Chios: 100 ships Erythrai: 8 ships Phokaia: 3 ships Lesbos: 70 ships Samos: 60 ships (Hdt. 6.8.1-3)	Most of the 11 Samian ships that fought (Hdt. 6.14.2-3) Many of the 100 Chian ships (Hdt. 6.15.2-16.2)
2) 480: Greek fleet at Artemision	272 triremes: Hdt. 8.2.1 + 53 additional Attic ships after the initial fighting (Hdt. 8.14.1)	Athens: 127 triremes Corinth: 40 triremes Megara: 20 triremes Khalkis: 20 triremes Aigina: 18 triremes Sikyon: 12 triremes Sparta: 10 triremes Epidauros: 8 triremes Eretria: 7 triremes Troizen: 5 triremes Styra: 2 triremes Keos: 2 triremes, 2 pentekontors Opuntian Lokris: 7 pentekontors (Hdt. 8.1.1-2.1) 53 additional Attic ships after the initial fighting (Hdt. 8.14.1)	Many Greek ships destroyed in the third day of fighting (Hdt. 8.16.3), including 5 Greeks ships taken by the Egyptians (8.17) One half the Athenian ships s damaged on the 3 rd day (Hdt. 8.18)

3) 480: Greek fleet at Salamis	310 ships (<i>Aes. Pers.</i> 338-40) 378 triremes (<i>Hdt.</i> 8.48) 400 ships (<i>Thuc.</i> 1.74.1) 200 triremes (<i>Dem.</i> 14.29) 300 triremes: <i>Dem.</i> 18.238 700 ships (<i>Ctesias FGH</i> 688 F12[30])	Sparta: 16 triremes Corinth: 40 triremes Sikyon: 15 triremes Epidauros: 10 triremes Troizen: 5 triremes Hermione: 3 triremes Athens: 180 triremes Megara: 20 triremes Amprakia: 7 triremes Leukas: 3 triremes Aigina: 30+ triremes Khalkis: 20 triremes Eretria: 7 triremes Keos: 2 triremes, 2 pentekontors Naxos: 4 triremes Styra: 2 triremes Kythnos: 1 trireme 1 pentekontor Kroton: 1 trireme Melos: 2 pentekontors Siphnos: 1 pentekontor Seriphos: 1 pentekontor (all <i>Hdt.</i> 8.43.1-47) Athens: a little less than two-thirds of 400 (<i>Thuc.</i> 1.74.1) Athens: 200 (<i>Dem.</i> 8.238); 100 (<i>Dem.</i> 14.29) Cf. Athenians more than the rest (<i>Lys.</i> 2.42; <i>Isoc.</i> 4.98, 12.50)	Ionians capture many Greek ships (<i>Hdt.</i> 8.85.2)
4) 479: Greek fleet at Mykale	110 ships to Aigina + Aiginetans and islanders? (<i>Hdt.</i> 8.131.1)		Only attritional losses?

<p>5) 478: Pausanias Campaign against Byzantion & Cyprus</p>	<p>?</p>	<p>20 ships from Peloponnesus 30 Attic ships A mass of other allies Thuc. 1.94.1) 50 triremes from Peloponnesus 30 Attic ships (DS 11.44.2)</p>	<p>Only attritional losses?</p>
<p>6) c. 468-66: Greek Fleet at Eurymedon</p>	<p>250 ships (Ephorus <i>FGH</i> 70 F 191, fr. 9-10; DS 11.60.6) 300 ships (Plut. <i>Cimon</i> 12.2; DS 11.60.3)</p>	<p>200 Athenian ships 100 allied ships (DS 11.60.3)</p>	<p>Only attritional losses?</p>
<p>7) c. 459: Egyptian Campaign</p>	<p>200 ships (Thuc. 1.104.2; Isoc. 8.86; Aristod. <i>FGH</i> 104 F11.3; Ael. <i>VH</i> 5.10) 300 ships: DS 11.71.5</p>	<p>40 Athenian ships (Ktesias <i>FHG</i> 4, fr. 32)</p>	<p>Almost 250? (Thuc. 1.110.1-5; DS 12.3.1) 200 ships (Isoc. 8.86; Ael. <i>VH</i> 5.10)</p>
<p>8) c. 451: Cypriot Campaign of Kimon</p>	<p>200 ships (Thuc. 1.112.2; Plut. <i>Per.</i> 10.4; DS 12.3.1; Nepos <i>Cimon</i> 3.4) 300 triremes: Plut. <i>Cimon</i> 18.1</p>		<p>150 ships (Isoc. 8.86; Ael. <i>VH</i> 5.10)</p>

TABLE III: ESTIMATED EXPEDITION COSTS IN THE *PENTEKONTAETELA*

Expedition Size	Launching Fee = 8 dr. (covers one month's subsidy?)	+ an additional month at one obol@day/two obols@day	+ two additional months at one obol@day/two obols@day	+ three additional months at one obol@day/two obols@day
200 triremes	53T, 2000 dr.	87T, 2000 dr. / 120T	120T/ 193T	153T, 2000 dr./ 253T, 2000 dr.
250 triremes	66T, 4000 dr.	108T, 4000 dr./ 150T	150T/ 233T, 2000 dr.	191T, 4000 dr./ 316T, 4000 dr.
300 triremes	80T	130T/ 180T	180T/ 280T	230T/ 380T
400 triremes	106T, 4000 dr.	174T, 4000 dr./ 240T	240T/ 386T	306T, 4000 dr./ 506T, 4000 dr.
500 triremes	133T, 2000 dr.	217T, 2000 dr./ 300T	300T/ 466T, 4000 dr.	383T, 2000 dr./ 633T, 2000 dr.
600 triremes	160T	260T/360T	360T/ 560T	460T/760T

BIBLIOGRAFIA FINAL

- AA.VV. (1990), *Archéologie de la vigne et du vin. Actes du colloque 28-29 mai 1988*, Paris.
- AA.VV. (1992), *Archeologia del paesaggio. IV Ciclo di lezioni sulla ricerca applicata in archeologia, Certosa di Pontignano (Siena) 14 - 26 gennaio 1991*, Firenze.
- AA.VV. (1997), *Uomo, acqua e paesaggio. Atti dell'incontro di studio sul tema irreggimentazione delle acque e trasformazione del paesaggio antico*, S. Maria Capua Vetere 22 - 23 novembre 1996, Roma.
- AA.VV. (1998), *El vi a l'antiguitat. Economia, producció i comerç al Mediterrani occidental. II Colloqui internacional d'arqueologia romana. Actes. Badalona, 6 - 9 de maig de 1998*, Badalona.
- AA.VV. (1999), *El vino en la antigüedad romana. Simposio arqueología del vino, Jérez 2, 3 y 4 de octubre 1996*, Madrid.
- AA.VV. (1999b), *Environmental reconstruction in Mediterranean landscape archaeology*, Oxford.
- AA.VV. (2001), *La cerveza en la antigüedad*, Sevilla.
- AA.VV. (2004), *Le vin. Nectar des dieux, génie des hommes*, Gollion.
- Abascal, J. Manuel, Espinosa, Urbano (1989), *La ciudad hispano-romana. Privilegio y poder*, Logronho.
- Abásolo, J. A., Mayer, M. (1997), "Inscripciones latinas", in S. Corchón (coord.), *La Cueva de la Griega de Pedraza (Segovia)*, Zamora, 183-259.
- Abbondanza, L. (ed.) (2008), *Filostrato Maggiore*, Milano.
- Acosta-Hughes, B. (2002), *Polyeideia. The Iambi of Callimachus and the Archaic Iambic Tradition*, Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- Adams, C. (2001), "There and back again. Getting around in Roman Egypt", in Adams, C. and R. Laurence (eds.), *Travel and Geography in the Roman Empire*, Londres and Nova Iorque, 138-166.
- Adams, J. N. (2003), *Bilingualism and the Latin language*, Cambridge.
- Adams, J. N. (2003a), "Romanitas and the Latin language", *CQ* 53: 184-205.
- Affatato, R. (2010), "Nueva York: recepción del mito de la ciudad en Federico García Lorca e Italo Calvino", in J. M. Losada Goya (ed.), *Mito y mundo contemporáneo. La recepción de los mitos antiguos, medievales y modernos en la literatura contemporánea*, Bari, 627-640.
- Albuquerque, M. de (1968), *O poder político no Renascimento português*, Lisboa.
- Albuquerque, M. de (1981), "Bártolo e bartolismo na história do direito português", *Boletim do Ministério da Justiça* 304: 41-61.
- Albuquerque, M. de (1983), *Estudos de cultura portuguesa*, I, Lisboa.

- Alexandrescu-Vianu, M. (1988), "O nouă posibilă genealogie a familiei lui Hippolochos, fiul lui Theodotod, de la Histria", *SCIVA* 39.3: 275-280.
- Alexandrescu-Vianu, M. (1989), "Apollon Ietros. Ein verschollener Gott Ioniens?", *IstMitt* 39: 115-122.
- Alexandrescu-Vianu, M. (1990), "Die Steinskulptur von Histria", in P. Alexandrescu, W. Schuller (eds.) *Histria. Eine Griechenstadt an der rumänischen Schwarzmeerküste*, Xenia. Konstanzer Althistorische Vorträge und Forschungen 25, Konstanz, 179-232.
- Alexandrescu-Vianu, M. (2000), "Une alternative d'identification de la statue colossale d'Istros", in A. Avram, M. Babeş (eds.) *Civilisation grecque et cultures antiques périphériques. Hommages à P. Alexandrescu à son 70^e anniversaire*, Bucarest, 274-281.
- Alexandridis, A. (2004), *Die Frauen des römischen Kaiserhauses. Eine Untersuchung ihrer bildlichen Darstellung von Livia bis Iulia Domna*, Mainz.
- Alfayé, S., Marco, F. (2008), "Religion, language and identity in Hispania: Celtiberian and Lusitanian rock inscriptions", in R. Häußler (ed.), *Romanisation et épigraphie. Etudes interdisciplinaires sur l'acculturation et l'identité dans l'Empire romain*, Montagne.
- Alföldi, A. (1948), *The conversion of Constantine and Pagan Rome*, Oxford.
- Alföldy, G. (1969), *Fasti Hispanienses*, Wiesbaden.
- Alföldy, G. (1973), *Flamines provinciae Hispaniae citerioris*, Madrid.
- Alföldy, G. (1991), "Augustus und die Inschriften: Tradition und Innovation. Die Geburt der imperialen Epigraphik", *Gymnasium* 98: 289-324.
- Allen, A. (1951), *History of political thought in the sixteenth century*. London
- Altaner, B., Stuiber, A. (2^a ed. 1972), *Patrologia*, São Paulo.
- Amouretti, M.C., Brun J.-P. (eds.) (1993), *La production du vin et de l'huile en Méditerranée. Actes du symposium international organisé par le Centre Camille Jullian et le Centre archéologique du Var, Aix-en-Provence et Toulon 20-22 novembre 1991* (BCH suppl. 26), Athènes.
- Ando, C. (2003), "A Religion for the Empire", in A. J. Boyle, W. J. Dominik (eds.), *Flavian Rome. Culture, Image, Text*, Leiden, Boston 323-344.
- Ando, C. (2006), "Interpretatio Romana", in L. de Blois, P. Funke, J. Hahn, (eds.), *The Impact of Imperial Rome on Religions, Ritual and Religious Life in the Roman Empire, Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop of the International Network Impact of Empire (Roman Empire 200 B.C. - A.D. 476.)*, Leiden, Boston 51-65.
- Andrade, A. A. (1959), *S. Tomás de Aquino no período áureo da filosofia portuguesa*, Lisboa.
- Andrade, A. A. de (1965), *Antologia do pensamento político português (séc. XVI)*, vol. I. Lisboa.

- Andrade, M. (1974), “Lira Paulistana”, in *Poesias completas*, São Paulo.
- Andreu, J. (2004), *Edictum, Municipium y Lex: Hispania en época flavia (69-96 d. C.)*, BAR Int. Ser. 1293, Oxford.
- Antonietti, C. (1999), “Megara e le sue colonie: unità storico-culturale?”, in C. Antonetti, P. Lévêque (eds.) *Il dinamismo della colonizzazione greca, Atti della tavola rotonda “Espansione e colonizzazione greca di età arcaica: metodologie e problemi a confronto”, Venezia, 10-11/11*, Besançon-Paris, 17-24.
- Aquino, T. de (1946), *Des lois de Saint Thomas d'Aquin*. Texte traduit et présenté par J. de la Croix Kaelin O. P., Paris.
- Arnaldi, A. (2010), “Osservazioni sul flaminato dei *Divi* nelle provincie africane”, in M. Milanese, P. Ruggeri, C. Vismara, (eds.), *L'Africa romana. Luoghi e le forme dei mestieri e della produzione nelle provincie africane. Atti del XVIII convegno di studio. Olbia 11-14 dicembre 2008*, vol. III, Roma, 1645-1665.
- Arruda, A. M. (2005), “O 1º milénio a.n.e. no Centro e no Sul de Portugal: leituras possíveis no início de um novo século”, *O Arqueólogo Português Série IV*: 23: 59-74.
- Arzone, A. (2011), “Alcune considerazioni sulle immagini di pietre miliari e sui riferimenti alle strade nel documento monetale”, in *I miliari lungo le strade dell'Impero*. Caselle di Somma campagna Verona, 77-92.
- Asensi, R. M., Musso, O. (1990), “Un documento etrusco di Tarragona”, *Quaderni della sezione di Studi Storici Alberto Boscolo* 1: 5-11.
- Aston, M. (1997), *Interpreting the landscape. Landscape archaeology and local history*, London.
- ATL = B.D. Meritt, WadeGery, H.T., McGregor, M.F., *The Athenian Tribute Lists*, 4 vs, Princeton.
- Aubert, J.-M. (1955), *Le droit romain dans l'oeuvre de Saint Thomas*, Paris.
- Avery, H. C. (1971), “Euripides' *Heraclidae*”, *AJPh* 92: 539-565.
- Avram, A., Lefèvre, F. (1995), “Les cultes de Callatis et l'oracle de Delphes”, *REG* 108: 7-23.
- Bacchielli, L. (1986), “Monumenti funerari a forma di *cupula*: origine e diffusione in Italia meridionale”, in A. Mastino (ed.), *L' Africa Romana: atti del 3. convegno di studio Sassari 13-15 dicembre 1985*, Sassari, 303-319.
- Bailly, A. (1963), *Dictionnaire grec-français*, Paris.
- Balass, G. (s.d.), “The Female Breast as a Source of Charity: Artistic Depictions of *Caritas Romana*”, www.Academia.edu/4006836.
- Baldassarre, I. (1979), “Zetema (Ζήτημα)” (a. 1973), *Enciclopedia dell'Arte Antica*, Suppl. 1979: 944-945.
- Baldassarre, I., Bragantini, I., Morselli, C. and Taglietti, F. (1996), *Necropoli di Porto. Isola Sacra*, Roma.

- Balil, A. (1984-88), "Las *cupae* de *Barcino*. Contribución al estudio de un tipo de monumento funerario romano", *Arqueologia e Historia*: 111-115.
- Baratta, G. (1993), "Una divinità gallo-romana. *Sucellus*. Un'ipotesi interpretativa", *ArchCl* 45: 233-247.
- Baratta, G. (1994), "*Circa Alpes ligneis vasis condunt circulisque cingunt*", *ArchClass* 46: 232-260.
- Baratta, G. (1997), "Le botti: dati e questioni", in *Techniques et économie antique et médiévale. Le temps de l'innovation. Colloque international, Aix-en-Provence 21-23 Mai 1997*, Paris, 109-112.
- Baratta, G. (1997), "*Sucellus*", in *Enciclopedia dell'Arte Antica classica e orientale*, Supplemento 1991-1994, V, Roma, 482.
- Baratta, G. (2005a), "La *cupa* nell'ambito femminile: dalla *caupona* al *loculus*?", in F. Cenerini, A. Buonopane (eds.), *Donna e vita cittadina nella documentazione epigrafica*, 95-108.
- Baratta, G. (2005b), *Römische Kelteranlagen auf der italienischen Halbinsel. Ein Überblick über die schriftlichen, bildlichen und archäologischen Quellen (200 v.Chr. - 400. n.Chr.)* (Cornucopia, 11), Murcia.
- Baratta, G. (2005c), "Appunti sulle variabili e costanti dell'*interpretatio* religiosa nell'occidente romano, in F. de Oliveira, (ed.), *Génesis e consolidação da Ideia de Europa*, vol.III, *O Mundo Romano*, Coimbra, 123-134
- Baratta, G. (2006a), "Alcune osservazioni sulla genesi e la diffusione delle *cupae*", in *Atti del XVI Convegno internazionale de L'Africa Romana* (Rabat, 15-19 dicembre 2004), Roma, 355-368.
- Baratta, G. (2006b), "Nuovi dati sull'iconografia delle mandorle nei sarcofagi strigilati. Un primo approccio ad un corpus", *Annali della Facoltà di Lettere e Filosofia dell'Università di Macerata* 26: 65-120.
- Baratta, G. (2007), "La mandorla centrale dei sarcofagi strigilati. Un campo iconografico ed i suoi simboli", in F. Hoelscher, T. Hoelscher (eds.), *Römische Bilderwelten. Von der Wirklichkeit zum Bild und zurück. Kolloquium der Gerda Henkel Stiftung am Deutschen Archäologischen Institut Rom*, Heidelberg, 191-215.
- Baron, H. (1938), "Cicero and the Roman civic spirit in the Middle Ages and the Early Renaissance", *Bulletin of the John Rylands Library* 22: 84-89.
- Baron, H. (1970), *La crisi del primo Rinascimento italiano*, Firenze.
- Barresi, P. (2007), "Il sofista Flavio Damiano di Efeso e la costruzione di termeginnasi nell'Asia Minore romana di età imperiale", in O. D. Cordovana, M. Galli, (eds.), *Arte e memoria culturale nell'età della Seconda Sofistica*, Catania, 137-151.
- Barros, J. de (1919), *Geografia d'Entre Douro e Minho e Trás-os-Montes*, Porto.

- Barros, J. de (1937), *Panegíricos – Panegírico de D. João III e da Infanta D. Maria*, Texto restituído, prefácio e notas por M. Rodrigues Lapa, Lisboa.
- Bassignano, M.S. (1974), *I flaminato nelle provincie romane dell’Africa*, Roma.
- Bastos, E. (1991), *Entre o escândalo e o sucesso. A semana de 22 e o Armory show*, Campinas.
- Battaglia, M. (2003), “Il Vulcano dei Germani in Giulio Cesare (B.G. VI, 21, 1). Un caso di *interpretatio*?” *Athenaeum* 91: 373-401.
- Beagon, M. (2005), *The Elder Pliny on the Human Animal: Natural History Book 7*, Oxford.
- Beard, M., North, J., Price, S. (1998), *Religions of Rome*, vol. I, *A History*, Cambridge.
- Behr, C.A. (ed.) (1973), *Aristides*, vol. I, *Panathenaic Oration in Defence of Oratory*, London.
- Behrends, M. et alii (eds.) (2000), *Hygin. L’oeuvre gromatique*, Luxemburg.
- Bejarano Osorio, A. M. (1996), “Sepulturas de incineración en la necrópolis oriental de Mérida: las variantes de *cupae* monolíticas”, *Anas* 9: 37-58.
- Belmonte, J. A. (2010), “Documentación fenicio-púnica en la Península Ibérica: estado de la cuestión”, in G. Carrasco y J. C. Oliva (eds.), *El Mediterráneo antiguo: lenguas y escrituras*, Cuenca, 159-220.
- Beltrán, F. ed. (1995), *Roma y el nacimiento de la cultura epigráfica en occidente*, Zaragoza.
- Beltrán, F. (2000), “La vida en la frontera”, in F. Beltrán, M. Martín-Bueno y F. Pina, *Roma en la Cuenca Media del Ebro. La romanización en Aragón*, Zaragoza.
- Beltrán, F. (2002), “Identidad cívica y adhesión al príncipe en las emisiones municipales hispanas”, in F. Marco, F. Pina y J. Remesal (eds.), *Religión y propaganda política en el mundo romano*, Barcelona, 159-187.
- Beltrán, F. (2004), “El latín en la Hispania romana: una perspectiva histórica”, in R. Cano (ed.), *Historia de la lengua española*, Barcelona, 83-106.
- Beltrán, F. (2004a), “*Nos Celtis genitos et ex Hiberis*. Apuntes sobre las identidades colectivas en Celtiberia”, in G. Cruz Andreotti y B. Mora Serrano (eds.), *Identidades étnicas – Identidades políticas en el mundo prerromano hispano*, *Kronion* 1, Málaga, 87-145.
- Beltrán, F. (2004b), “De nuevo sobre la tésera Froehner”, *Palaeohispanica* 4: 45-65.
- Beltrán, F. (2004c), “Imagen y escritura en la moneda hispánica”, in F. Chaves y F. J. García (eds.), *Moneta qua scripta. La moneda como soporte de la escritura. Actas del III Encuentro Peninsular de Numismática Antigua*, Anejos de *AEspA* 33: 125-139.

- Beltrán, F. (2004d), “Libertos y cultura epigráfica en la Hispania republicana”, in F. Marco, F. Pina y J. Remesal (eds.), *Vivir en tierra extraña: emigración e integración cultural en el mundo antiguo*, Barcelona, 151-175.
- Beltrán, F. (2005), “Cultura escrita, epigrafía y ciudad en el ámbito paleohispánico”, *Palaeohispanica* 5: 21-56.
- Beltrán, F. (2006), “Hispania y el Mediterráneo en los siglos II y I a. E.: diversidad cultural y movilidad social”, in F. de Oliveira, P. Thiercy, R. Vilaça (eds.), *O mar greco-latino*, Coimbra, 223-240.
- Beltrán, F. (2009), “Vltra eos palos. Una nueva lectura de la línea 7 de la *Tabula Contrebiensis*”, in *Espacios, usos y formas de la epigrafía hispana en épocas antigua y tardoantigua. Homenaje al Dr. Armin U. Stylow*, Anejos de *AEspA* 48: 33-42.
- Beltrán, F. (2011), “Lengua e identidad en la Hispania romana”, *Palaeohispanica* 11:19-59.
- Beltrán, F. (2011a), “¿Firmas de artesano o sedes de asociaciones comerciales? A propósito de los epígrafes musivos de Caminreal (E.7.1), Andelo (K.28.1) y El Burgo de Ebro (*HEp* 11, 2001, 621 = *AE* 2001, 1237)”, in E. Luján y J. M. García Alonso (eds.), *A Greek man in the Iberian street. Papers in Linguistics and Epigraphy in honour of Javier de Hoz. Innsbrucker Beiträge zur Sprachwissenschaft* 140, Innsbruck, 139-147.
- Beltrán, F. (2011b), “Les colonies latines d’Hispanie (IIe siècle av. E.): émigration italique et intégration politique”, in N. Barrandon y F. Kirbihler (eds.), *Les gouverneurs et les provinciaux sous la République romaine*, Rennes, 131-144.
- Beltrán, F. (2012), “Roma y la epigrafía ibérica sobre piedra del nordeste peninsular”, *Palaeohispanica* 12: 9-30.
- Beltrán, F. (inédito), “Diversidad cultural y epigrafía: el ejemplo de Hispania”, *XII Congressus Internationalis epigraphiae Graecae et Latinae*, Barcelona septiembre de 2002.
- Beltrán, F., Estarán, M. J. (2011), “Comunicación epigráfica e inscripciones bilingües en la Península Ibérica”, in C. Ruiz Darasse y E. Luján (eds.), *Contacts linguistiques dans l’Occident méditerranéen antique. Collection de la Casa de Velázquez* (126), Madrid, 9-25.
- Beltrán, F., Velaza, J. (2009), “De etnias y monedas: las “cecas vasconas”, una revisión crítica”, in J. Andreu (ed.), *Los vascones de las fuentes antiguas: en torno a una etnia de la antigüedad peninsular*, Barcelona, 99-126.
- Beltrán, F., Arasa, F. (1979-1980), “Los itineraria privata en la epigrafía latina”, *Historia Antiqua*, 9-10: 7-29.
- Beltrán, F., Jordán, C., Marco, F. (2005), “Novedades epigráficas en Peñalba de Villastar (Teruel)”, *Palaeohispanica* 5: 911-956.
- Bentley, J. H. (1978), *Politics and culture in Renaissance Naples*, Princeton.

- Berciu, I., Wolski, W. (1970), "Un nouveau type de tombe mise au jour à *Apulum* et le problème des sarcophages à voûte de l'Empire romain", *Latomus* 29: 919-965.
- Bergmann, M. (1998), *Die Strahlen der Herrscher. Theomorphes und politische Symbolik im Hellenismus und in der römischen Kaiserzeit*, Mainz.
- Berruti, V., Magistà, A. (eds.) (2009), *L'automobile. Marche e modelli dalle origini a oggi*, vol. 6, Lancia, Roma.
- Besnier M., Chapot, V. (1913), "Via", *Dictionnaire des Antiquités Grecques et Romaines*, 5, Paris, 777-817.
- Bettini, C. (2008), "Tre Valascos nell'Italia del quattrocento: Meser Valasco di Vespasiano da Bisticci, Petrus Vallascis di Cataldo Siculo e Vasco Fernandes de Lucena", *Humanitas* 60: 205-226.
- Bettini, M., Boldrini, M., Calabrese, O., Piccinni, G. (eds.) (2010), *Miti di città*, Siena.
- Binsfeld, W. (1979), "Zu treverischen Kultdenkmälern", in *Festschrift 100 Jahre Rheinisches Landesmuseum Trier. Beiträge zur Archäologie und Kunst des Trierer Landes*, Mainz, 263-269.
- Blackman, D. (1969), "The Athenian Navy and Allied Naval Contributions in the Pentecontaetia", *GRBS* 10: 179-216.
- Blanco Freijeiro, A. (1977), *El puente de Alcántara en su contexto histórico*, Madrid.
- Boardman, J. (1986), *I Greci sui Mari. Traffici e Colonie*, Trad. ital., Firenze, Giunti.
- Boffo, L. (1975), "Cimone e gli alleatidi Atene", *RIL* 109: 442-50.
- Bol, R. (1984). *Das Statuenprogramm des Herodes-Atticus-Nymphäums*, Berlin.
- Bona, G. (ed.) (1988), *Pindaro. I peani*, Cuneo.
- Bonfante, G., Bonfante, L. (2002), *The Etruscan language. An introduction. Revised edition*, Manchester and New York.
- Bonneville, J.-N. (1981), "Les *cupae* de Barcelone: les origines du type monumental", *MCV* 17: 5-38.
- Bontems, C. (1965), *Le prince dans la France des XVIe e XVIIe siècles*, Paris.
- Bordenache, G. (1960), "Antichità greche e romane nel nuovo Museo di Mangalia", *Dacia* N. S. 4: 489-509.
- Bordenache, G. (1961), "Histria alla luce del suo materiale scultoreo", *Dacia* N. S., 185-211.fig. 16.
- Bordenache, G. (1969), *Sculture greche e romane del Museo Nazionale di Antichità di Bucarest I. Statue e rilievi di culto, elementi architettonici e decorativi*, Bukarest.
- Boschung, D. (1993a), *Die Bildnisse des Augustus*, Berlin.

- Boschung, D. (1993b), "Die Bildungstypen der julisch-claudischen Kaiserfamilie: ein kritischer Forschungsbericht", *JRA* 6: 39-79
- Boschung, D. (2002), *Gens Augusta. Untersuchungen zu Aufstellung, Wirkung und Bedeutung der Statuengruppen des julisch-claudischen Kaiserhauses*, Mainz.
- Boucher, S. (1987), "L'image et les fonctions du dieu *Sucellus*", *Caesarodunum* 23: 77-85.
- Boulanger, A. (1923), *Aelius Aristide et la sophistique dans la province d'Asie au II^e siècle de notre ère*, Paris.
- Bowersock, G.W. (1969), *Greek Sophists in the Roman Empire*, Oxford.
- Braancamp Freire A. (ed.) (1916), *Notícias da Vida de André de Resende pelo Beneficiado Francisco Leitão Ferreira*, Lisboa.
- Bracco, V. (1985), "Il tabellarius di Polla", *Epigraphica* 47: 93-97.
- Brandão, M. (1937), *Documentos de D. João III*, I, Coimbra.
- Brandt, H. (1998), *Geschichte der römischer Kaiserzeit. Von Diokletian und Konstantin bis zum Ende der konstantinische Dynastie (264-363)*, Berlin.
- Briant, P. (2002), *From Cyrus to Alexander. A History of the Persian Empire*, Winona Lake.
- Brown, B.R. (1957), *Ptolemaic Paintings and Mosaics and the Alexandrian style*, Cambridge.
- Brown, T. S. (1946), "Euhemerus and the Historians", *HTbR* 39: 259-274.
- Brun, J.-P. (1986), *L'oléiculture antique en Provence. Les huiliers du département du Var* (RANArb suppl. 15), Paris.
- Brun, J.-P. (2003), *Le vin et l'huile dans la Méditerranée antique. Viticulture, oléiculture et procédés de transformation*, Paris.
- Brun, J.-P. (2004), *Archéologie du vin et de l'huile dans l'empire romain*, Paris.
- Brun, J.-P. (2005), *Archéologie du vin et d'huile en Gaule romaine*, Paris.
- Bruneau, P. (1985), "Deliaca. Iconographie. L'image de Delos personifiée e pyxides de Spina", *BCH* 109: 551-556.
- Búa, C. (1997), "Dialectos indoeuropeos na franxa occidental hispânica", in G. Pereira (ed.), *Galicia fai dous mil anos. O feito diferencial galego, volumen I. Historia*, Santiago de Compostela, 51-99.
- Buck, R. J. (1979), *A History of Boeotia*, Edmonton.
- Bulloch, A. W (1985), *Callimachus. The Fifth Hymn*, Cambridge.
- Bulloch, A. W (2010), "Hymns and Encomia", in J. J. Clauss and M. Cuypers (eds.), *A Companion to Hellenistic Literature*, Malden/Oxford, 166-180.
- Burazacchini, G. (ed.) (2005), *Troia tra realtà e legenda*, Parma.
- Burckhardt J. (1949), *The Age of Constantine the Great*, Berkeley.

- Burke, P. (1987 3^a ed.), *The Italian Renaissance culture and society in Italy*, Cambridge.
- Burkert, W. (1991), *Mito e Mitologia*, Ed. 70, Lisboa.
- Burkhalter-Arce, F. (2002), “Le tarif de Coptos”. La douane de Coptos, les fermiers de l’apostolion et le préfet du desert de Bérénice”, *Topoi* Supp. 3: 199-233.
- Burnett, A. P. (2005), *Pindar’s Songs for Young Athletes of Aigina*, Oxford.
- Bury, J. B., Cook, S. A., Adcock, F. E. (eds.), *The Cambridge Ancient History*, Vol. 4, Cambridge.
- Butcher, K. (2003), *Roman Syria and the Near East*, London.
- Buxton, R. (ed.) (1999), *From Myth to Reason? Studies in the Development of Greek Thought*, Oxford.
- Caccamo Caltabiano, M. (2003), “Messana/Tyche sulle monete della città dello stretto”, in *Archeologia del Mediterraneo. Studi in onore di Ernesto De Miro*, Roma, 139-149.
- Cadotte, A. (2007), *La romanisation des dieux. L’interpretatio romana en Afrique du Nord sous le Haut-Empire* (Religions in the Graeco-Roman world 158), Leiden.
- Caiado, H. (1745), *Eclogae et Sylvae et Epigrammata*, in Pe. A. dos Reis, *Corpus illustrium poetarum Lusitanorum, qui latine scripserunt*, Lisboa.
- Cairns, D. L. (2010), *Bacchylides: five epinician odes (3, 5, 9, 11, 13)*, Cambridge.
- Camia, F. (2011), *Theoi sebastoi. Il culto degli imperatori romani in Grecia (provincia Achaia) nel secondo secolo D.C.*, Athina.
- Caldera de Castro, M. D. P. (1978), “Una sepultura de cupa hallada en Mérida. (Consideraciones acerca de estos monumentos funerarios)”, *Habis* 9: 455-463.
- Calderón Dorda, E., De Lazzer, A., Pellizer, E., (eds.) (2003), *Corpus Plutarchi Moraliū*, Naples.
- Calvino, I. (1996), “Diario americano, 1959-1966”, in *Eremita a Parigi. Pagine autobiografiche*, Milano, 20-124.
- Calvino, I. (1996a), *Città invisibili*, Milano.
- Camargos, M. (2001), *Villa Kyrial: crônica da Belle Époque paulistana*, São Paulo.
- Cameron A. (1993), *The later Roman empire: AD 284–430*, Cambridge.
- Cantemir, D. (2006), *The Salvation of the Wise Man and the Ruin of the Sinful World [...]*, ed., trans., notes, indices Ioana Feodorov, Editura Academiei, Bucuresti.
- Cantemirius, D. (1973), *Descriptio antiqui et hodierni status Moldaviae/ Dimitrie Cantemir, Descrierea Moldovei*, trans. Gh. Gutu, introd. Maria Holban, hist. com. N. Stoicescu, cartographical study Vintilă Mihailescu, index Ioana Constantinescu, note D. M. Pippidi, Bucuresti.

- Cantemirius, D. (2006), *Descriptio antiqui et hodierni status Moldaviae/ Dimitrie Cantemir, Principele Moldovei, Descrierea stării de odinioară și de astăzi a Moldovei*, ed., trans. Dan Slusanschi, Bucuresti.
- Cantineau, J. (1935), *Grammaire du palmyrénien épigraphique*, Le Caire.
- Carcopino, J.(s/d), *A vida quotidiana em Roma no apogeu do Império* (trad A. J. Saraiva), Lisboa.
- Cardim Ribeiro, J. (2002), “Soli Aeterno Lunae. O santuário”, *Religiões da Lusitânia. Loquuntur Saxa*, Lisboa, 235-239.
- Cardim Ribeiro, J. (2005), “O *deus sanctus Endovellicus* durante a romanidade. Uma interpretatio local de Faunus-Silvanus?”, *Paleohispanica* 5: 721-766.
- Carlier p. (1990), *Démosthène*, Paris.
- Carneiro, A., d’Encarnação, J., de Oliveira, J., Teixeira, Cl. (2008), “Uma inscrição votiva em língua lusitana”, *Palaeohispanica* 8: 167-178.
- Caro, A. (2009), “Una fase decisiva en la evolución de la publicidad: la transición del producto a la marca”, *Pensar la publicidad*, III, 2: 109-114.
- Caro, A. (2010), *Comprender la publicidad*, Barcelona.
- Cartledge, P. (2009), *Ancient Greek Political Thought in Practice*, Cambridge.
- Carvalho, J. de (1947-1948), *Estudos sobre a cultura portuguesa do século XVI*, 2 vols. Coimbra.
- Carvalho, J. de (1949), *Estudos sobre a cultura portuguesa do século XV*, Coimbra.
- Cascudo, L. C. (1974), *Prelúdio e fuga do real*, Natal.
- Cascudo, L. C. (1983), *Civilização e Cultura, pesquisas e notas de etnografia geral*, Belo Horizonte.
- Cascudo, L. C. (1983, 2ª ed.), *Anúbis e outros ensaios. Mitologia e folclore*, Rio de Janeiro, Natal.
- Cascudo, L. C. (1987), *História dos nossos gestos*, Belo Horizonte, São Paulo.
- Cascudo, L.C. (1966), “História de um livro perdido”, *Arquivos do Instituto de Antropologia “Câmara Cascudo”* 2.1-2: 5-19.
- Castelli, E. (1951) (ed.), *Umanesimo e Scienza politica. Atti del congresso Internazionale di Studi Umanistici, Roma-Firenze, 1949*, Milano.
- Castillo, C. (1998), “Los *flamines* provinciales de la Bética”, *REA* 100: 437-460
- Cawkwell, G. (2005), *The Greek Wars. The Failure of Persia*, Oxford.
- Cesarano, M. (2015), In honorem domus divinae. *Introduzione allo studio dei cicli statuari giulio-claudii a Roma e in Occidente*, Roma.
- Clauss, M. (1979), *Kaiser und Gott: Herrscherkult im römischen Reich*, Berlin.
- Chamie, M. (2009), *Paulicéia dilacerada*, Ribeirão Preto.
- Chanotis, A. (2009), “The Dynamics of Rituals in the Roman Empire”, in O.

- Hekster, S. Schmidt-Hofner, Chr. Witschel (eds.), *Ritual Dynamics and Religious Change in the Roman Empire. Proceedings of the Eight Workshop of International Network Impact of Empire*, Leiden, Boston, 3-29
- Charles-Picard, G., Rougé, J. (1969), *Textes et documents relatifs à la vie économique et sociale dans l'Empire romain*, Paris.
- Chassaing, M. (1961), "Les barillets frontiniens", *RAE* 12: 7-33, 89-106.
- Chelotti, M. (2003), *Regio II, Apulia et Calabria, Venusia* (Supplementa Italica 20), Roma.
- Cherry, D. (1998), *Frontier and Society in Roman North Africa*, Oxford.
- Chevallier, R. (1972), *Les voies romaines*, Paris.
- Chevallier, R. (1988), *Voyages et déplacements dans l'Empire romain*, Paris.
- Chiarelli, G. (1932), "Il 'De regno' di Francesco Patrizi", *Rivista internazionale di filosofia del diritto*, Anno XII. (Nov-Dec.): 716-738.
- Cistercienses (Os). Documentos primitivos. Texto latino e tradução brasileira.* (1997) Introdução e bibliografia Irmão François de Place, Tradução de Irineu Guimarães, Musa, S. Paulo; Lúmen Christi, Rio de Janeiro 1997.
- Clauss, J., Cuypers, M. (eds.) (2010), *A Companion to Hellenistic Literature*, Chichester, West Sussex.
- Clavel-Lévêque, M. et alii (eds.) (1993), *Siculus Flaccus. Les conditions des terres*, Nápoles.
- Clavel-Lévêque, M. et alii (eds.) (1996), *Hygin l'arpenteur. L' établissement des limites*, Nápoles.
- Clayton, P.A. (1989), *Le sette Meraviglie del mondo*, Torino. (*The Seven Wonders of the Ancient World*, London, 1988).
- Cogitore, I. (1996), "Séries de dédicaces italiennes à la dynastie julio-claudienne", *MEFRA* 104 : 817-870.
- Colasso, F. (1951), "Umanesimo giuridico", in E. Castelli (ed.), *Umanesimo e Scienza politica (Atti dei Congresso Internazionale di Studi Umanistici, Roma-Firenze, 1949)*, Milano, 57-58.
- Colecchia, A., Bertolani, G. B., Marcante, A. et alii (2004), *L'Alto Garda occidentale dalla preistoria al postmedioevo. Archeologia, storia del popolamento e trasformazione del paesaggio* (Documenti di archeologia, 36), Mantova.
- Colonna, G. (1980), "Virgilio, Cortona e la leggenda etrusca di Dardano", *Archeologia Classica* 32: 1-15.
- Conger, G. P. (1952), "Did India influence Early Greek Philosophies?", *Philosophy East and West* 2.2: 102-128.
- Conti, S. (1997), "Dinastia giulio-claudia a Roselle: una serie di dediche imperiali in Etruria", *Ann. Fac. Lett. e Filos. Univ. Siena* 18: 101-127.

- Conti, S. (1998), *Rusellae, Suppl. It. n. s. 16*, Roma.
- Cook, J. M. (1971), *Os Gregos na Iónia e no Oriente*, Lisboa.
- Cooley, A. E. (ed.) (2002), *Becoming Roman, Writing Latin? Literacy and Epigraphy in the Roman West*. JRA Suppl. Ser. 48, Portsmouth.
- Cooley, A. E. (2002), “The survival of Oscan in Roman Pompeii”, in E. A. Cooley (ed.), *Becoming Roman, Writing Latin? : Literacy and Epigraphy in the Roman West*, JRA Suppl. Ser. 48: 77-86.
- Cordovana, O. D., Galli, M. (eds.) (2007), *Arte e memoria culturale nell'età della Seconda Sofistica*, Catania.
- Corell, J. (1989), “Notas sobre epigrafía romana del País Valenciano”, *APL* 19: 271-281.
- Costa, A. D. S. (1969), *Estudantes portugueses na reitoria do Colégio de S. Clemente de Bolonha na primeira metade do século XV*, Lisboa.
- Costa, A. D. S. (1990), *Portugueses no Colégio de S. Clemente e Universidade de Bolonha durante o século XV*, vol. I, Bolonia.
- Coulanges, F. de. (1971, 10^a ed.), *A cidade antiga*, Trad. e glossário de Fernando de Aguiar, Livraria Clássica Editora, Lisboa.
- Crawford, M. H., Reynolds, J. M. (1979), “The Aezani copy of the Prices Edict”, *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 34: 163-210.
- Crystal, D. (2000), *Language death*, Cambridge.
- Curado, F. P. (1985), “Inscrição rupestre de Freixo de Numão”, *Ficheiro Epigráfico* 11: nº48.
- David, B., Thomas J. (eds.) (2008), *Handbook of landscape archaeology* (World archaeological congress research handbooks in archaeology, 1), Walnut Creek.
- Davie, J. N. (1982), “Theseus the king in fifth-century Athens”, *G&R* 29.1: 25-34.
- DCPH = M. P. García-Bellido y C. Blázquez (2001), *Diccionario de cecas y pueblos hispánicos*, Madrid.
- De Bernardo Stempel, P. (2008), “More names, fewer deities. Complex theonymic formulas and the three types of interpretation”, in *Divindades indígenas em análise. Divinités pré-romaines. Bilan et perspectives d'une recherche. Actas do VII workshop FERCAN, Cascais, 25-27.5.2006*, Coimbra, 65-73.
- De Hoz, J. (2001), “La lengua de los íberos y los documentos epigráficos en la comarca de Requena-Utiel”, in A. J. Lorrio (ed.), *Los íberos en la comarca de Requena-Utiel (Valencia)*, Madrid, 49-62.
- De Hoz, J. (2010), *Historia lingüística de la Península Ibérica en la Antigüedad. I. Preliminares y mundo meridional prerromano*, Madrid.

- De Hoz, M. P. (1997), “Epigrafia griega en Hispania”, *Epigraphica* 59: 29-93.
- De Labriolle, P. (1934), *La reaction païenne*, Paris.
- De Martino, D. (2010), “Spot, etica e letteratura”, *La nuova ricerca. Pubblicazione annuale del Dipartimento di Linguistica, Letteratura e Filologia moderna dell’Università degli studi di Bari*, anno XIX. 19, 117-128.
- De Martino, D. (2010^{bis}), “Automobili da mito”, in F. De Martino (ed.), *Antichità & pubblicità*, Bari, 443-522.
- De Martino, D. (2011), *Io sono Giulietta. Letterature & miti nella pubblicità di auto*, Bari.
- De Martino, D. (2012), “Una forma de subversión del mito literario: de la novela a la publicidad”, in J. M. Losada Goya, M. Guirao Ochoa (eds.), *Myth and Subversion in the Contemporary Novel*, Cambridge, 421-436.
- De Martino, D. (2013), *Dante & la pubblicità*, Bari.
- De Martino, F., Vox, O. (1996) (eds.), *Lirica greca*, vol. 3, Bari.
- De Ruyt, Cl. (1983), *Macellum. Marché alimentaire des romains*, Louvain-la-Neuve.
- De Santerre, H. H. (1976), “Athènes, Délos et Delphes d’après une peinture de vase à figure rouges du V siècle avant J.-C.”, *BCH* 100: 291-298.
- De Vos, M., Andreoli, M., Attoui, R. et alii (2007), “Cilicia campestris orientale. L’economia rurale e la trasformazione del paesaggio intorno al Karasis”, in *Geografia e viaggi nell’antichità. Atti del convegno internazionale di studi (Certosa di Pontignano, 9-10 ottobre 2005)*, Siena, 13-39.
- Degl’Innocenti Pierini, R. (2012), “Le città personificate nella Roma repubblicana: fenomenologia di un motivo letterario tra retorica e poesia”, in G. Moretti, A. Bonandini (eds.), *Persona ficta. La personificazione allegorica nella cultura antica, fra letteratura, retorica e iconografia*, Trento, 215-247.
- Desbat, A. (1991), “Un bouchon de bois du Ier s. après J.-C. recueilli dans la Saône à Lyon et la question du tonneau à l’époque romaine”, *Gallia* 48: 319-336.
- Dias, P. B. (2011 2ª ed.), “Notas introdutórias”, in J. G. Freire, *A versão latina por Pascásio de Dume dos Apophtegmatata Patrum*, Coimbra, 1-34.
- Dias, P. B. (2012), “Cristianismo e responsabilidade cristã na queda de Roma”, in F. Oliveira et alii (coords.), *A queda de Roma e o alvorecer da Europa*, Coimbra, 43-67.
- Dias P. B. (2013), “O legado de Constantino na identidade da Europa cristã: dois casos de estudo”, in M. C. Pimentel e P. Farmhouse Alberto (orgs.), *Vir bonus peritissimus aequae. Estudos de homenagem a Arnaldo do Espírito Santo*, Lisboa, 455-463

- Díaz, B. (2008), *Epigrafía latina republicana de Hispania*, Barcelona.
- Dittenberger, W., Purgold, K. (1896), *Inscripfen von Olympia*, Berlin.
- Dixon, R. M. W. (1997), *The rise and fall of languages*, Cambridge.
- Domăneanțu, C. (1993), “Un sanctuaire hellénistique du site de Nuntași II (comm. d’Istria, dep. De Constanța)”, *Dacia* 37: 59-78.
- Dörfler, W., Evans, A., Löhr, H. (1998), “Trier, Walramsneustrasse. Untersuchungen zum römerzeitlichen Landschaftswandel im Hunsrück-Eifel-Raum an einem Beispiel aus der Trierer Talweite“, in *Studien zur Archäologie der Kelten, Römer und Germanen in Mittel- und Westeuropa. Alfred Haffner zum 60. Geburtstag gewidmet*, Rahden, 119-152.
- Dubuisson, M. (1981), “Utraque lingua”, *L’Antiquité Classique* 50: 274-286.
- Dubuisson, M. (1982), “Y a-t-il une politique linguistique romaine?”, *Ktéma* 7: 197-210.
- Duchesne, L. (1887), “Le concile d’Elvire et les flamines chrétiens”, *Mélanges Renier*, Paris, 159-174.
- Dunkle, J. R. (1969), “The Aegeus episode and the theme of Euripides’ *Medea*”, *TAPhA* 100: 97-107.
- Durán Fuentes, M. (2005), *La construcción de puentes romanos en Hispania*, Santiago de Compostela.
- Eck, W. (2006), “Herrschaft und Kommunikation in antiken Gesellschaften. Das Beispiel Rom”, in U. Peter, S. J. Seidlmayer (eds.), *Mediengesellschaft Antike? Information und Kommunikation vom Alten Ägypten bis Byzanz*, Berlin, 11-33.
- Eco, U. (2013), *Storia delle terre e dei luoghi leggendari*, Milano.
- Eddy, S.K. (1968), “Four Hundred Sixty Talents Once More”, *CP* 63: 184-95.
- Edmonson, J. (1997), “Two dedications to Divus Augustus and Diva Augusta from Augusta Emerita and the early development of the imperial cult in Lusitania”, *MM* 38: 89-105.
- Edmondson, J. (2002), “Writing latin in the province of Lusitania”, in A. E. Cooley (ed.), *Becoming Roman, Writing Latin? Literary and Epigraphy in the Roman West*, *JRA Suppl. Ser.* 48: 41-60.
- Ehrenberg, V. (1973, 2^a ed.), *From Solon to Sócrates*, Londres.
- Ehrenberg, V. (1976), *L’État grec*, Paris.
- Ehrhardt, N. (1988), *Milet und seine Kolonien. Vergleichende Untersuchung der kultischen und politischen Einrichtungen*, ed. a II-a, Frankfurt, Main-Bern, New York, Paris.
- Elliger, W. (1975), *Die Darstellung der Landschaft in der griechischen Dichtung*, Berlin, New York.

- Elliott, Th. (1990), “The Language of Constantinian Propaganda”, *TAPhA* 120: 349-353.
- Encarnação, J. d' (1984), *Inscrições romanas do Conventus Pacensis*, Coimbra.
- Erasmus, D. (1703), *Opera omnia (in decem tomos distincta)*, Recognovit Joannes Clericus, Leiden.
- Erodoto (1988), *Le Storie. Libro I. La Lidia e la Persia. A cura di David Astheri*, Milano.
- Erskine, A. (ed.) (2003), *A Companion to the Hellenistic World*, Oxford.
- Espérandieu, E. (1907-1981), *Recueil général des bas-reliefs, statues et bustes de la Gaule romaine*, Paris.
- Estarán, M. J. (2012), “Las estampillas ibérico-latinas K.5.4”, *Palaeohispanica* 12: 73-90
- ET = Rix, H. (1991), *Etruskische Texte*, Tübingen.
- Étienne, R. (1958), *Le culte impérial dans la Péninsule ibérique d'Auguste à Diocletien*, Paris.
- Étienne, R. (1973), “Les syncrétismes dans la Péninsule Ibérique à l'époque impériale”, in *Les syncrétismes dans les religions grecque et romaine*, Paris, 153-163.
- Étienne, R., Fabre, G.; Lévêque, P. et M. (1976), *Fouilles de Conimbriga*, vol. II, *Épigraphie et Sculpture*, Paris.
- Étienne, R., Fabre, G., Le Roux, P., Tranoy, A. (1976), “Les dimensions sociales de la romanisation dans la Péninsule Ibérique des origines à la fin de l'Empire”, in D. M. Pippidi (ed.), *Assimilation et résistance à la culture gréco-romaine dans le monde ancien. Travaux du VI^e Congrès International d'Études Classiques*, București, Paris, 95-107.
- Étienne, R., Mayet, F. (2000), *Le vin hispanique*, Paris.
- Evans, J. A. S. (1981), “Notes on the debate of the Persian Grandees in Herodotus 3, 80-82”, *QUCC* 36: 79-84.
- Evers, C. (1994), *Les portraits d'Hadrien. Typologie et ateliers*, Bruxelles.
- Ewald, C., Norena, C. F. (eds.) (2010), *The Emperor and Rome: Space, Representation, Ritual*, Cambridge.
- Fabre, G., Mayer, M., Rodà, I. (1991), *Inscriptions romaines de Catalogne*, III, Paris.
- Fayer, C. (1976), *Il culto della dea Roma. Origine e diffusione nell'Impero*, Pescara.
- Fearn, D. (2007), *Bacchylides. Politics, performance, poetic tradition*, Oxford.
- Fernandes, L., Carvalho, P., Figueira, N. (2009), “Divindades indígenas numa ara inédita de Viseu”, *Palaeohispanica* 9: 143-155.
- Fernández Gallardo, L. (2002), *Alonso de Cartagena. Una biografía política en la Castilla del siglo XV*, Valladolid.

- Fernández Gallardo, L. (2008), “Alonso de Cartagena y el Humanismo”, *La Corónica* 37.1: 175- 215.
- Ferraz, C. (2002), “Conjunto de oito aras provenientes do *Lararium* de Centum Celas”, in V. L. Raposo, J. R. Ferreira (Coords.), *Religiões da Lusitânia. Loquuntur Saxa*, Lisboa, 467-469.
- Ferreira, J. R. (1988), “Grécia e Roma na Revolução Francesa”, *Revista de História das Ideias* 10: 203-234.
- Ferreira, J. R. (1990), *A democracia na Grécia Antiga*, Coimbra.
- Ferreira, J. R. (1990a), *Participação e poder na democracia grega*, Coimbra.
- Ferreira, J. R. (1993), *Hélade e Helenos I – Génese e Evolução de um Conceito*, Coimbra.
- Ferreira, J. R. (2004 2ª ed.), *A Grécia Antiga. Sociedade e Política*, Lisboa.
- Ferreira, J. R., (1991), “Presença da Grécia e de Roma na Revolução Francesa”, in *Actas do colóquio A Recepção da Revolução Francesa em Portugal e no Brasil*, Porto, vol. I, 75-96.
- Ferri, S. (1976), “Luci e ombre sulla interpretatio romana”, in *Convegno internazionale “Renania romana” Roma 14-16 aprile 1975*, Roma, 125-133.
- Ferrill, A. (1978), “Herodotus on tyranny”, *Historia* 27.3: 385-398.
- Figueira, T. J. (1998), *The Power of Money: Coinage and Politics in the Athenian Empire*, Philadelphia.
- Figueira, T. J. (2003), “Economic Integration and Monetary Consolidation in the Athenian Arkhê”, in G. Urso (ed.), *Moneta, Mercanti, Banchieri. I precedenti greci e romani dell’Euro*, Pisa, 71-92.
- Figueira, T. J. (2005), “The Imperial Commercial Tax and the Finances of the Athenian Hegemony”, *Incidenza dell’antico* 3: 83-133.
- Figueira, T. J. (2006), “Reconsidering the Athenian Coinage Decree”, *AIIN* 52: 9-44.
- Figueira, T. J. (2011), “The Athenian Naukraroi and Archaic Naval Warfare”, *Cadmo. Revista de História Antiga* 21: 183-210.
- Figueira, T. J. (forthcoming[a]), “Archaic Naval Warfare”, in N. Birgalias (ed.), *Great is the Power of the Sea: The Power of Sea and Sea Powers in the Greek world of the Archaic and Classical Periods*, Athens.
- Figueira, T. J. (forthcoming[b]), “The Aristeidian Tribute on the Peace of Nikias”, in S. Jensen, T. Figueira (eds.), *Athenian Hegemonic Finances*, Swansea.
- Figueira, T. J. (forthcoming[c]), “Community Wealth and Military Might in Periclean Athens”, in A.L. Pierris (ed.), *Mind, Might, Money: The Secular Triad in Classical Athens*, Patras.

- Figueira, T. J. (forthcoming[d]), "Aigina: Island as Paradigm", in A. Powell and K. Meidani (eds.), *The Eyesore of Aigina: Anti-Athenian Attitudes in Greek, Hellenistic and Roman History*, Swansea.
- Figueiredo, R., Lamounier, B. (1996), *As cidades que dão certo*, Brasília.
- Finley, M.I. (1966), *The Ancient Greeks. An introduction to their life and thought*, Londres. Trad. port.: *Os Gregos Antigos* (Lisboa, 2ª ed. 1988).
- Finley, M. I. (1973, 2ª ed.), *Democracy, ancient and modern*, London.
- Finley, M.I. (1973a), *The ancient economy*, London.
- Finley, M.I. (1982), *Authority and legitimacy in the classical city-state*, Kobenhavn.
- Fishwick, D. (1970), "Flamen Augustorum", *HSCP* 74: 299-312.
- Fishwick, D. (1982), "The altar of Augustus and the municipal cult of Tarraco", *MM* 23: 222-233
- Fishwick, D. (2002), *The Imperial Cult in the Latin West, 3/2, Provincial Cult / The Provincial Priesthood*, Leiden.
- Fishwick, D. (2005), *The Imperial Cult in the Latin West. Studies in the Ruler Cult of the Western Provinces of the Roman Empire*, Leiden, Boston.
- Fitton, J. W. (1961), "The Suppliant Women and the *Herakleidae* of Euripides", *Hermes* 89.4: 430-461.
- Flower, M. F. (2007), "Appendix R: The Size of Xerxes Expeditionary Force," in Robert B. Strassler (ed.), *The Landmark Herodotus: The Histories*, New York, 819-23.
- Fonseca, L. A. (1982), *O Condestável D. Pedro de Portugal*, Porto.
- Fontanella, F. (2008), "The Encomium on Rome as a response to Polybius' doubts about the Roman Empire", *Columbia Studies in the Classical Tradition* 33: 203-216.
- Forni, G. (1973), "El culto de Augusto en el compromiso oficial y en el sentimiento oriental", *BSAA* 39: 105-113.
- Forni, G. (1994), *Scritti vari di Storia, Epigraphia e antichità romane*, Roma.
- Franck, A. D. (1864), *Réformateurs et publicistes de l'Europe: Moyen Âge-Renaissance*, Paris.
- French, A. (1972), "The Tribute of the Allies", *Historia* 21: 3-20.
- Fuentes, M. J. (1986), *Corpus de las inscripciones fenicias, púnicas y neopúnicas de Hispania*, Barcelona.
- Gabba, S., Drioton, É. (1954), *Peintures à fresques et scènes peintes a Ermoupolis - Ourvest (Touna el-Gevel)*, Le Caire.
- Gaffiot, F. (s/d), *Dictionnaire latin-français*, Paris.
- Gagé, J. (1936), "Le *templum Urbis* et les origines de l'idée de *Renovatio*", in *Mélanges Franz Cumont*, Bruxelles, 151-187.

- Gagé, J. (1955), *Apollon romain. Éssai sur le culte d'Apollon et le développement du "ritus Graecus" à Rome des originrs à Auguste*, Paris.
- Gagé, J. (1968), "*Basiléia*". *Les Césars, les rois d'Orient et les "mages"*, Paris.
- Gagé, J. (1974), "Le *solemne Urbis* du 21 avril au III^e siècle ap. J.-C.: Rites positives et speculations séculaires", *Mélanges d'histoire de religions offerts à Henri-Charles Puech*, Paris, 225-241.
- García Bellido, M. P. (1993), "Sobre el culto de Volcanus y Sucellus en Hispania. Testimonios numismáticos", in F. Burkhalter, J. Arce (eds.), *Bronces y religión romana. Actas del XI Congreso internacional de bronce antiguos, Madrid mayo - junio 1990*, Madrid, 161-170.
- García Iglésias, L. (1976), "Autenticidad de la inscripción de municipios que sufragaron el puente de Alcántara", *Revista de Estudios Extremeños* 32.2: 263-276.
- García Jurado, F. (2007), *Aulo Gelio, Noches Áticas. Antología*, Madrid.
- García Romero, F. (2002), "Pervivencia de Penélope", in C. Morenilla Talens, F. De Martino (eds.), *El perfil de les ombres*, Bari, 187-204.
- García Soler, M. J. (2010), "Gastronomia e pubblicità nella Grecia antica", in F. De Martino (ed.), *Antichità & pubblicità*, Bari, 345-366.
- Garin, E. (1955), "Ricerche sulle traduzioni di Platone nella prima metà del XV secolo", *Medioevo e Rinascimento, Studi in onore di B. Nardi*, Firenze.
- Garin, E. (1966), *Storia della filosofia italiana*, Torino.
- Garriguet, J. A. (2004), "Grupos estatuarios imperiales de la Bética: la evidencia escultórica y epigráfica", in *Actas de la IV reunión sobre escultura romana en Hispania*, Madrid, 67-101.
- Gasperini, L. (1977), "L'Augusteo di Firmo Piceno in un'epigrafe da rileggere", *AFML* 10: 57-87.
- Gasperini, L. (2008), "L'Augusteo di Forum Clodii", en L. Gasperini, G. Paci, (eds.), *Nuove ricerche sul culto imperiale in Italia*, Tivoli, 91-134.
- Gasperini, L., Paci, G. (eds.) (2008), *Nuove ricerche sul culto imperiale in Italia*, Tivoli.
- Gaudemet J. (1947), "La législation religieuse de Constantin", *Révue d' Histoire de l'Église de France* 122: 25-61.
- Genette, G. (1997), *Palinsesti. La letteratura di secondo grado*, Torino.
- Gentili, B. (ed.) (1995), *Pindaro. Le pitiche*, Milano.
- Ghedini, F. (2000), "Filostrato Maggiore come fonte per la conoscenza della pittura antica", *Ostraka* 9.1: 75-197.
- Giachero, M. (ed.) (1974), *Edictum Diocletiani et Collegarum de pretiis rerum venalium in integrum restitutum e latinis graecisque fragmentis*, 1-2, Génova.

- Gico, V. (1998), “Luís da Câmara Cascudo: perfil bibliográfico”, in L. C. Cascudo, *Ontem. (Magações e notas de um professor de província)*, Natal.
- Gigli, D. (1985), *Metafora e poetica in Nonno di Panopoli*, Firenze.
- Gilles, K. J. (1987), “Römische Glasgefäße”, in AA.VV., *2000 Jahre Weinkultur an Mosel-Saar-Ruwer. Denkmäler und Zeugnisse zur Geschichte von Weinbau, Weinhandel, Weingenuß*, Trier, 143-145.
- Gilles, K. J. (1987b), “Trierer Weinkeramik”, in AA.VV., *2000 Jahre Weinkultur an Mosel-Saar-Ruwer. Denkmäler und Zeugnisse zur Geschichte von Weinbau, Weinhandel, Weingenuß*, Trier, 132-133.
- Gilles, K. J., König, M., Schumann, F. (1995), *Neuere Forschungen zum römischen Weinbau an Mosel und Rhein* (Schriftenreihe des Rheinischen Landesmuseums Trier, 11), Trier.
- Gilson, É. (1983, 6ª ed.), *Le thomisme*, Paris.
- Gómara, M. (2007), “Una inscripción paleohispánica sobre cerámica altoimperial en Cascante (Navarra)”, *Palaeohispanica* 7: 263-268.
- Gomes, S.A. (1998), *Visitações a mosteiros cistercienses em Portugal. Séculos XV e XVI*, Ministério da Cultura – IPPAR, Lisboa.
- Gomes, S. A. (2000), “Revisitação a um velho tema: a fundação do Mosteiro de Alcobaça”, in *Cister: Espaços Território e Paisagens. Colóquio Internacional, 16-20 Junho de 1998, Mosteiro de Alcobaça. Actas. I*, Lisboa, 27-72.
- Gomes, S. A. (2000), *O mosteiro de Alcobaça na transição dos séculos XIV e XV: o protagonismo de D. João Dornelas*, in *Cister. Espaços, Territórios, Paisagens. Colóquio Internacional. 16-20 Junho 1998. Mosteiro de Alcobaça*, Lisboa, 73-88.
- Gomes, S. A. (2006), D. Afonso V, *Círculo de Leitores-Colecção Reis de Portugal*, Lisboa.
- Gómez García, C. (2010), “La configuración de la ciudad de Berlin”, in J. M. Losada Goya (ed.), *Mito y mundo contemporáneo. La recepción de los mitos antiguos, medievales y modernos en la literatura contemporánea*, Bari , 617-626.
- González Rolán, T., P. Saquero Suárez-Somonte, P. (2001), “El Humanismo italiano en la Castilla del cuatrocientos: estudio y edición de la versión castellana y del original latino del *De infelicitate principum* de Poggio Bracciolini”, *Cuadernos de Filología Clásica. Estudios Latinos* 21: 115-150.
- González Rolán, T., Moreno Hernández, A., Saquero Suárez-Somonte, P. (2000), *Humanismo y teoría de la traducción en España e Italia en la primera mitad del siglo XV. Edición y estudio de la Controversia Alphonsiana (Alfonso de Cartagena vs. L. Bruni y P. Candido Decembrio)*, Madrid.
- Gorrochategui, J. (1987), “Situación lingüística de Navarra y alrededores en la antigüedad a partir de las fuentes epigráficas”, *Primer Congreso General de Historia de Navarra II*, Pamplona, 435-445.

- Gorrochategui, J. (2014), “Nueva inscripción funeraria celtibérica procedente de Clunia”, *Palaeohispanica* 14: 229-236.
- Gorrochategui, J. y Vallejo, J. M. (2010), “Lengua y onomástica. Las inscripciones lusitanas”, *Iberografías* 6: 71-80.
- Gose, E. (1976), *Gefäßtypen der römischen Keramik im Rheinland*, Köln.
- Graham, A. J. (1964), *Colony and Mother City*, Manchester.
- Grenier, A. (1934), *Manuel d'archéologie gallo-romaine* 2, *Les routes*, Paris.
- Gros, P., Marin, M., Zink, M. (eds.) (2015), *Auguste, son époque et l'Augusteum de Narona. Actes du colloque organisé à l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-lettres /e 12 décembre 2014*, Paris.
- Gualandi, M. L. (2001), *Le fonti per la storia dell'arte - I. L'antichità classica*, Roma.
- Guarducci, M. (1974), *Epigrafia greca*, vol. III, Roma.
- Guarducci, M. (1978), *Epigrafia greca*, vol. IV, Roma.
- Guerra, A., Schatner, T. (2010), “El foro y el templo de Lancia Oppidana: nueva interpretación de Centum Celas (Belmonte)”, in T. Mogale Basarrate (ed.) *Ciudad y Foro en Lusitania Romana*, Mérida, 333-342.
- Guilaine, J. (cur.) (1991), *Pour une archéologie agraire: à la croisée des sciences de l'homme et de la nature*, Paris.
- Guilmartin, J. F. (2002), *Galleons and Galleys*, London.
- Guilmartin, J. F. (2003), *Gunpowder and Galleys. Changing Technology and Mediterranean Warfare at Sea in the Sixteenth Century*, 2nd ed., Annapolis.
- Hall, J. M. (1997), *Ethnic identity in Greek Antiquity*, Cambridge.
- Hanell, K. (1934), *Megarische Studien*, Lund.
- Hänlein-Schäfer, H. (1985), *Veneratio Augusti. Eine Studie zu den Tempeln der ersten römischen Kaisers*, München.
- Hanley, R. (2000), *Villages in Roman Britain*, Princes Risborough.
- Hansen, H. M. (1991), *The Athenian Democracy in the age of Demosthenes. Structure, Principles and Ideology*, Oxford.
- Hardy, E. G. (1925), “The Lex Mamilia Roscia Peducaea Alliena Fabia”, *The CQ* 19 (3/4): 185-191.
- Harris, E. (1995), *Aeschines and Athenian Politics*, Oxford.
- Harth, H. (1984), *Poggio Bracciolini, Lettere*, Leo S. Olschki Editore, Florencia.
- Hekster, O., Schmidt-Hofner, S., Witschel, Chr. (eds.) (2009), *Ritual dynamics and Religious Change in the Roman Empire. Proceedings of the Eighth Workshop of the International Network Impact of Empire*, Leiden, Boston.
- Helck, W. (1971), *Das Bier im alten Ägypten*, Berlin.

- Hershowitz, A., (forthcoming), "Patterns in Variation in Tribute Assessment", in S. Jensen, T. Figueira (eds.), *Athenian Hegemonic Finances*, Classical Press of Wales, Swansea.
- Herta, P. (1978), "Bibliographie zum römischer Kaiserkult (1955-1975)", *ANRW* II 18: 833-910.
- Heubeck, A. (ed.) (1983), *Omero. Odissea*, Volume III (Libri IX-XII), Milano.
- Heurgon, J. (1950-1951), "La syntaxe des routiers romains", *Bulletin de la Société des Antiquaires de France*: 145-154.
- Heurgon, M. (1969), "Inscriptions étrusques de Tunisie", *CRAI*, 526-551.
- Heurgon, M. (1969a), "Les Dardaniens en Afrique", *REL* 47: 284-294.
- Higbie, C. (2007), "Hellenistic Mythographers", in R. Woodart (ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to Greek Mythology*, Cambridge, 237-54.
- Hignett, C. (1963), *Xerxes' Invasion of Greece*, Oxford.
- Hoffmann, C. (1991), *An introduction to bilingualism*, London, New York.
- Hoffmann, M. (1956), *5000 Jahre Bier*, Berlin.
- Holban, M., Bulgaru, M. M. A., Cernovodeanu, P. (eds.) (1980-83), *Calatori straini despre tarile române (Foreign Travellers about the Romanian Countries)*, Bucuresti, vol. VII: 1980; vol. VIII: 1983.
- Homo, L. (1972), *Rome impériale et l'urbanisme dans l'antiquité*, Paris.
- Hopkinson, N. (1984), "Callimachus' Hymn to Zeus", *CQ* 34: 139-148.
- Hornblower, S. (2008), *A Commentary on Thucydides. Volume I: Books I-III*, Oxford - New York.
- Houaiss, A. (2001), *Dicionário Houaiss da língua portuguesa*, Rio de Janeiro.
- Howgego, Chr, Heuchert, V. Burnett, A. (eds.) (2004), *Coinage and identity in the Roman provinces*, Oxford.
- Howgego, Chr. (2004), "Coinage and identity in the Roman provinces", in Chr. Howgego, A. Heuchert y Burnett (eds.), *Coinage and identity in the Roman provinces*, Oxford, 1-18.
- Huizinga, J. (1948), *Le déclin du Moyen Âge*, Paris.
- Hunter, R., Fuhrer, T. (2002), "Imaginary Gods? Poetic Theology in the *Hymns of Callimachus*", in F. Montanari, L. Lehnus (eds.), *Callimaque. Sept Exposés suivis de discussions*, Vandoeuvres-Gender, 143-175.
- Hurlet, F. (1996), *Les collègues du prince au temps d'Auguste et de Tibère: de la légalité républicaine à la légitimité dynastique*, Roma.
- Hutchinson, G. O. (1988), *Hellenistic Poetry*, Oxford.
- HCT* = Gomme, A. J., (1970), *A Historical Commentary on Thucydides*. vs. 1-2, Oxford.

- Icks, M. (2001), "Priesthood and Imperial Power. The Religious Reforms of Heliogabalus 220-222", in L. de Blois (ed.), *Administration, Prosopography and Appointment Policies in the Roman Empire. Proceedings of the First Workshop of the International Network Impact of Empire (Roman Empire, 27 B.C. - A.D. 406)*, Amsterdam, 169-178.
- IRT = Reynolds, J. M., Ward-Perkins, J. B. (1952), *Inscriptions of Roman Tripolitania*, Rome.
- Jackson, K. (1953), *Language and history in Early Britain*, Edinburgh.
- Jacoby, F. (1923), *Die Fragmente der griechischen Historiker*, Part I-III, Berlin.
- Jaeger, W. (s.d), *Paideia*, Trad. de Artur M. Parreira, São Paulo.
- Janko R. (1982), *Homer, Hesiod and the Hymns*, Cambridge.
- Jiménez, A. J. (1995), "La imagen de Teseo en las *Suplicantes*", in J. A. López Férrez (ed.), *De Homero a Libanio*, Madrid, 145-161.
- Johnson, L. (1960), "Natalis urbis and principium anni", *TPAPhA* 91: 109-120
- Julia, D. (1962), "Les monuments funéraires en forme de demi-cylindre dans la province romaine de Tarragonaise", *MCVI* : 29-54.
- Jullian, C. (1926), "Notes gallo-romaines", *Révue des Études Anciennes* 28. 2: 139-151.
- Little, K. (2002), "Monasticism and Western Society: from marginality to the establishment and back", *Memoirs of the American Academy in Rome* 47: 83-94.
- Kaimio, J. (1979), *The Romans and the Greek Language*, Helsinki.
- Kalinowski, A. (2007), "A series of honorific statue bases for the Vedii in the market agora at Ephesos (*IvE* 725, 731, 3076-3078)", in M. Mayer, G. Baratta, A. Guzmán, (eds.), *Acta XII Congressus internationalis epigraphiae Graecae et Latinae. Provinciae imperii Romani inscriptionibus descriptae*, vol I, Barcelona, 757-762.
- Kantiréa, M. (2007), *Les dieux et les dieux augustes. Le culte impérial en Grèce sous le Julio-claudiens et les Flaviens, Études épigraphiques et archéologiques*, Athènes.
- Katz, S. H., Fleming, S. J., McGovern, P. E. (1996), *The origins and ancient history of wine. Food and nutrition in history and anthropology* 11, Amsterdam.
- Kelso W.M. (ed.) (1990), *Earth patterns. Essays in landscape archaeology*, Charlottesville.
- Kerkhecker, A. (1999), *Callimachus' Book of "Iambi"*, Oxford.
- Khanoussi, M. (1983), "Nouvelles sépultures d'époque romaine", in Beschaouch A. et alii (eds.), *Recherches archéologiques franco-tunisiennes à Bulla-Regia, I* (CEFR 28/I), Roma, 93-106.
- Kiss, Z. (1975), *L'iconographie des princes julio-claudiens au temps d'Auguste et de Tibère*, Varsovie.

- Kleiner, F. S. (1991), "The trophy on the bridge and the Roman triumph over nature", *L'Antiquité Classique* 60: 182-192.
- Koch, J. (2009), *Tartessian. Celtic in the South-west at the dawn of history*, Aberystwyth.
- Koch, J. (2009a), "A case for Tartessian as a Celtic language", *Palaeohispanica* 9: 339-351.
- Kolb, A. (2001), "Transport and communication in Roman state: the *cursus publicus*", in C. Adams and R. Laurence (eds.), *Travel and Geography in the Roman Empire*, Londres - Nova Iorque, 95-105.
- Kolb, A. (ed.) (2010), *Augustae. Machtbewusste Frauen am römischen Kaiserhof? Herrschaftsstrukturen und Herrschaftspraxis*, Berlin.
- Kozakai, T. (2000), *L'étranger, l'identité. Essai sur l'intégration culturelle*, Paris.
- Kramer, N., Reitz, Chr. (eds.) (2010), *Tradition und Erneuerung. Mediale Strategien in der Zeit der Flavier*, Berlin, New York.
- Kristeller, P. O. (1961, 3^a ed.), "The moral thought of Renaissance humanism", in *Chapters in Western civilization*, I, New York, 289-335.
- Krynen, J. (1981), *Idéal du prince et pouvoir royal en France à la fin du Moyen Âge (1380-1440). Étude de la littérature politique du temps*, Paris.
- Kuhoff, W. (2001), *Diokletian und die Epoche der Tetrarchie*, Frankfurt.
- Künzl, S. (1997), *Die Trierer Spruchbecherkeramik. Dekorierete Schwarzfirniskeramik des 3. und 4. Jahrhunderts* (Beihefte Trierer Zeitschrift 21), Trier.
- Lambert, P. Y. (1994), *La langue gauloise*, Clamecy.
- Lambrino, S. (1937), "La famille d'Apollon à Histria", *Aephem* 100: 352-362.
- Lambrino, S. (1952), "Les inscriptions de São Miguel de Odrinhas", *Bulletin des Études Portugaises* 16: 134-176.
- Lasserre, F. (1976), "Hérodote et Protagoras: le débat sur les constitutions", *MH* 33: 65-84.
- Lateiner, D. (1984), "Herodotean historiographical patterning: the constitutional debate", *Q&S* 20: 257-284.
- Laurence, R. (2001), "Afterword: travel and empire", in C. Adams and R. Laurence (eds.), *Travel and Geography in the Roman Empire*, Londres / Nova Iorque, 167-176.
- Lausberg, H. (1990, 3^a ed.), *Handbuch der literarischen Rhetorik. Eine Grundlegung der Literaturwissenschaft*, Stuttgart.
- Lawrance, J. N. H. (1990), "Humanism in the Iberian Peninsula", in A. Goodman, A. Mackay (eds.), *The Impact of Humanism on Western Europe*, Londres, 220-258.
- Lazenby, J. F. (1993), *The Defence of Greece, 490-479 B.C.*, Warminster.

- Leão, D. F. (2012), *A Globalização no Mundo Antigo. Do Polites ao Kosmopolites*, Coimbra.
- Lehmann, K. (1962), "Ignorance and search in the villa of the Mysteries", *JRS* 52: 62-68.
- Leite de Vasconcelos, J. (1913), *Religiões de Lusitania*, III, Lisboa 1989.
- Leite, S. (ed.) (1963), *Estatutos da Universidade de Coimbra (1559)*, Coimbra.
- Lekai, L. J. (1987), *Los Cistercienses. Ideales y realidad*, Barcelona.
- Lemny, S. (2010), *Cantemirestii. Aventura europeana a unei familii princiere din secolul al XVIII-lea (Les Cantemir: l'aventure européenne d'une famille princière au XVIIIe siècle, 2006)*, Iasi, Polirom.
- Lesky, A. (1995), *História da Literatura Grega*, Lisboa.
- Leveau, Ph. (1992), "Le territoire agricole d'Arles dans l'antiquité. Relecture de l'histoire économique d'une cité antique à la lumière d'une histoire du milieu", in M. Bernardi (cur.), *Archeologia del Paesaggio*, Firenze, vol. II, 597-636.
- Levy, A. M. (2010), *Sex Acts in Early Modern Italy: Practice, Performance, Perversion, Punishment*, Farnham.
- Lewis, D. M., Boardman, J., Hornblower, S., Ostwald, M (eds.) (1994), *The Cambridge Ancient History, Volume 6: The Fourth Century BC*, Cambridge.
- Lewis, D. M. (1994), "The Athenian Tribute Quota Lists, 453-450 BC", *BSA* 89: 285-301.
- Lima, D. C. (1998, 3ª ed.), *Câmara Cascudo: um brasileiro feliz*. Rio de Janeiro.
- Lintott, A. (1992), *Judicial reform and land reform in the Roman Republic*, Cambridge.
- Little K. (2002), "Monasticism and Western Society: from marginality to the establishment and back", *Memoirs of the American Academy in Rome* 47: 83-94.
- Littman, R. J. (1974), *The Greek experiment, Imperialism and social conflict 800-400 B. C.*, Londres.
- Liverani, P. (1994), "Il ciclo di ritratti del edificio absidato a Roselle", in *Roselle: iconografia imperiale e glorificazione Familiare, MDAL, RA* 101: 161-163.
- Loeschcke, S. (1932), "Römische Denkmäler vom Weinbau an Mosel, Saar und Ruwer", *TfZ* 7: 42-60.
- Loeschcke, S. (1933), *Denkmäler vom Weinbau aus der Zeit der Römerherrschaft an Mosel, Saar und Ruwer*, Trier.
- López Moreda, S. (2009), *Aulo Gelio, Noches Áticas*, Madrid.
- López Vilar, J. (1999-2000), "Consideracions sobre les *cupae* i altres estructures funeràries afins", *Bullettí Arcqueològic* V. 21-22: 65-103.

- Lorenzo Gómez, F. (2010), *Un dios entre los hombres. La adoración a los emperadores romanos en Grecia*, Barcelona.
- Losada Goya, J. M. (ed.) (2010), *Mito y Mundo contemporáneo. La recepción de los mitos antiguos, medievales y modernos en la literatura contemporánea*, Bari.
- Lucet, B. (1977), *Les codifications cisterciennes de 1237 et de 1257*, Paris.
- Macan, R.W. (1908), *Herodotus, The Seventh, Eighth, & Ninth Books*, London.
- Machado de Assis, J. M. (1971), “Esaú e Jacó”, in Machado de Assis, *Obra Completa*, Rio de Janeiro, José Aguilar Editora.
- Maehler, H. (1982), *Die Lieder des Bakchylides I* (2 vols.), Leiden.
- Magioncalda, A. (1991), *Lo sviluppo della titolatura imperiale da Augusto a Giustiniano attraverso le testimonianze epigrafiche*, Torino.
- Magueijo, C. (1970), “A Lex Metallis Dicta”, *O Arqueólogo Português* série 3, 4: 125-163.
- Maltese, V. E.-Cortassa, G. (eds.) (2000), *Roma parte del cielo. Confronto tra l'Antica e la Nuova Roma di Manuele Crisolora*, Torino.
- Mamede, Z. (1970), *Luis da Câmara Cascudo: 50 anos de vida intelectual 1918/1968*, Natal.
- Manconi, D., Catalli, F. (eds.) (2005), *Le immagini del potere. Il potere delle immagini. L'uso del ritratto ufficiale nel mondo romano da Cesare ai Severi*, Perugia.
- Mann, C. (2001), *Athlet und Polis im archaischen und frühklassischen Griechenland*, Göttingen.
- Mantas, V. G. (2008-2009), “A rede viária romana em Portugal. Estado da questão e perspectivas futuras”, *Anas* 21-22: 245-272.
- Mantas, V. G. (2011), “Linhas fortificadas e vida quotidiana: da Muralha da China à Muralha do Atlântico”, in C. Guardado da Silva (coord.), *A Vida quotidiana nas Linhas de Torres Vedras*, Torres Vedras, 15-56.
- Mantas, V. G. (2012), *As vias romanas da Lusitânia*, Mérida.
- Maquiavel, N. (2010, 8ª ed), *O príncipe*, Trad. de Pietro Nassetti, Martin Claret, São Paulo.
- Maquiavel, N. (1980), *Le Prince de Maquiavel*, Traduction et commentaire de C. Roux-Lehman, Paris.
- Maravall, J. A. (1972), *Estado moderno y mentalidad social (siglos XV a XVII)*, 2 vols., Madrid.
- Marco, F. (1993), “Nemedus Augustus”, in I. J. Adiego, J. Siles, J. Velaza, (eds.), *Studia Palaeohispanica et Indogermanica J. Untermann ab amicis Hispanicis oblata*, Barcelona, 163-178.

- Marco, F. (1996), "Integración, interpretatio y resistencia religiosa en el occidente del imperio", in J. M. Blásquez, J. Alvard (ed.) *La romanización en Occidente*, Madrid, 217-238.
- Marcos Casquero, M. A., Domínguez García, A. (2006), *Aulo Gelio, Noches Áticas*, vol. I, Universidad de León.
- Marcy, G. (1936), *Les inscriptions libyques bilingues de l'Afrique du nord*, Paris.
- Moreno Gallo, I. (2006), *Vías romanas: ingeniería y técnica constructiva*. Madrid.
- Marlière, É. (2001), *Le tonneau en Gaule Romaine*, *Gallia* 58: 181-201.
- Marlière, É. (2002), *L'outre et le tonneau dans l'Occident romain*, Montagnac.
- Marques, M. A. F. (1998), "A introdução da Ordem de Cister em Portugal", in *Estudos sobre a Ordem de Cister em Portugal*, Lisboa.
- Marrou, H.-I. (1963), "L'Église dans la première moitié du quatrième siècle", in *L'Église de l'Antiquité tardive 303-604*, Paris, 26-35.
- Marrou, H.-I. (1965, 6ª ed.), *Histoire de l'éducation dans l'Antiquité*, Paris.
- Marshall, P. K (1983), "Aulus Gellius", in L.D. Reynolds (ed.), *Texts and Transmission. A Survey of the Latin Classics*, Oxford.
- Martínez, A. (1993), "Dos esgrafiados ibéricos sobre una estela romana de Requena (Valencia)", *Saguntum* 26: 247-251.
- Martínez-Pinna, J. (2002), "Los arcadios", in *La prehistoria mítica de Roma, Gerión. Anejos* 6: 135-167.
- Martini, W. (1990), *Die archaischen Plastik der Griechen*, Darmstadt.
- Mastino, A. (1981), *Le titolature di Caracalla e Geta attraverso le iscrizioni (indici)*, Bolonia.
- Mattoso, A., (1935 2ª ed.), *Compêndio de história antiga*, Sá da Costa, Lisboa.
- Maurice, F. (1930), "The Size of the Army of Xerxes in the Invasion of Greece 480 B.C.", *JHS* 50: 210-35.
- Mayer, M. (1980), "La plasmación lingüística de la pervivencia de los cultos prerromanos en Hispania a través de los formularios epigráficos", *Revista Española de Lingüística* 10: 230-231.
- Mayer, M. (1993), "El paganismo cívico de los siglos II y III en la Hispania citerior. Su reflejo en la epigrafía", in *Ciudad y comunidad cívica en Hispania. Siglos II y III d. C. Cité et communauté civique en Hispania*, Madrid, 161-175.
- Mayer, M. (1995), "El primer horizonte epigráfico en el litoral noreste de la Hispania citerior", in F. Beltrán (ed.), *Roma y el nacimiento de la cultura epigráfica en Occidente*, Zaragoza, 97-119.
- Mayer, M. (1998), "¿Qué es un *Augusteum*?", *Historia Antiqua* 4: 63-70.
- Mayer, M. (1999), "Aproximación a la religión cívica en Hispania bajo los

- flavios”, *Ktema* 24: 341-345.
- Mayer, M. (2004), “El *Augusteum* de Narona (Vid, Metković, Croacia) en época de los Severos”, in *Orbis Antiquus. Studia in honorem Ioannis Pisonis*, Cluj-Napoca, 283-289.
- Mayer, M. (2005), “Constantino el Grande: deconstrucción y construcción de un Imperio”, in F. de Oliveira (coord.), *Génesis e Consolidação da Ideia de Europa*, vol. III, *O Mundo Romano*, Coimbra, 203-230.
- Mayer, M. (2007a), “La presenza imperiale nelle città del *Picenum* tra l’epoca augustea e il regno dei Severi : un primo approccio”, *Studi Maceratesi* 41: 27-40.
- Mayer, M. (2007b), “Las dedicatorias a miembros de la *domus* Augusta julio-claudia y su soporte: una primera aproximación”, in G. Paci (ed.), *Contributi all’epigrafia del’età augustea. Actes de la XIII^e Rencontre franco-italienne sur l’*épigraphie* du monde romain*, Tivoli, 171-199
- Mayer, M. (2008), “Sila y el uso político de la epigrafía”, in M. Caldelli, G. L. Gregori, S. Orlandi (eds.), *Epigrafia 2006. Atti della XIV^e rencontre sur l’*épigraphie* in onore di Silvio Panciera con altri contributi di colleghi, allievi e collaboratori*, Roma, 121-135.
- Mayer, M. (2009), “Los honores recibidos por la familia de Marco Aurelio en la parte oriental del imperio romano: ¿cambio o continuidad en el culto dinástico?”, in A. Martínez Fernández (ed.), *Estudios de Epigrafía Griega*, La Laguna, 277-294.
- Mayer, M. (2010), “La presència de la dinastia antonina a *Tarraco*”, in *Studia Celtica Classica et Romana Nicolae Szabó septuagesimo dicata*, Budapest, 159-167.
- Mayer, M. (2015), “La epigrafía y el *Augusteum* de Narona”, in G. Zecchini (ed.), *L’Augusteum di Narona. Atti della Giornata di Studi. Roma 31 maggio 2013*, (Centro ricerche e documentazione sull’ antichità clásica, monografie, 3 7), Roma, pp. 19-41.
- McCrum, M., Woodhead, A.G. (1961), *Select Documents of the Principates of the Flavian Emperors Including the Year of Revolution, A.D. 68-96*, Cambridge.
- Mednikarova, I. (2003), “The accusative of the name of the deceased in Latin and Greek epitaphs”, *ZPE* 143: 117-134.
- Meiggs, R. (1972), *The Athenian Empire*, Oxford.
- Melani, V., Vergari, M. (1985), *Profilo di una città etrusca Roselle*, Pistoia.
- Melchor Gil, E. (1992), “Sistemas de financiación y medios de construcción de la red viaria hispana”, *Habis*, 23: 121-137.
- Melchor Gil, E. (2010), “Homenajes estatutarios e integración de la mujer en la vida pública municipal de las ciudades de la Bética”, in F. J. Navarro (ed.), *Pluralidad e integración en el Mundo Romano*, Pamplona, 221-245.

- Mellor, R. (1975), *ΘΕΑ ΡΩΜΗ the Worship of the Goddess Roma in the Greek World*, Göttingen.
- Mellor, R. (1981), "The Goddess Roma", in *ANRW* II 17. 2, Berlin, New York, 950-1030
- Menegazzi, L. (1995), *Il manifesto italiano* (prima ed. 1974), Milano.
- Merêa, P. (1929), *História de Portugal*, Vol. II. Coimbra.
- Merêa, P. (1941), *Suárez, Grácio, Hobbes*, Coimbra.
- Mesnard, P. (1977), *Essor de la philosophie politique au XVIe Siècle*, Paris.
- Messerschmidt, W. (2003), *Prosopopoiia: Personifikationen politischen Charakters in spätklassischer und hellenistischer Kunst*, Köln.
- Michelini, A. N. (1994), "Political themes in Euripides' *Suppliants*", *AJP* 115. 2: 219-252.
- Millar, F. (1968), "Local cultures in the Roman Empire: Libyan, Punic and Latin", *JRS* 58: 126-134.
- Millar, F. (1993), *The Roman Near East 31 BC-337 AD*, London.
- Millar, F. (2006), *A Greek Roman Empire, Power and belief under Theodosius II 408-450*, Berkeley.
- Minerath, R. (1996), *Histoire des Conciles*, Paris.
- MLH = J. Untermann, J. (1975-2000), *Monumenta linguarum Hispanicarum*, I-V, Wiesbaden.
- Moggi, M. (1976), *I sinecismi interstatali greci*, Pisa.
- Moncada, C. (1947), *Filosofia do direito e do estado*, I, Coimbra.
- Monfrin, J. (1964), "Humanisme et traductions au Moyen Age", in *L'Humanisme médiéval dans les littératures romanes du XIIe au XIVe siècle* (Actes du Colloque organisé par le Centre de Philologie et de Littératures romanes de l'Université de Strasbourg), Paris.
- Monteiro, N., d'Encarnação, J. (1993-1994), "A propósito de uma inscrição latina em Santiago da Guarda (Ansião)", *Conimbriga* 32-33: 303-311.
- Moretti, G. (2007), "Patriae trepidantis imago. La personificazione di Roma nella *Pharsalia* fra *ostentum* e disseminazione allegorica", *Camena* 2: 1-17
- Morrison, A. D. (2007), *The Narrator in Archaic Greek and Hellenistic Poetry*, Cambridge.
- Mosley, D. J. (1965), "The Size of Embassies in Ancient Greek Diplomacy", *TPAPhA*: 255-266.
- Mosley, D. J. (1972), "Envoys and diplomacy in Ancient Greece", *Historia* 22: 1-97.
- Mossé, Cl. (1970), *La colonisation dans l'Antiquité*, Paris, 27-99.

- Mullen, A. (2007), “Linguistic evidence for ‘romanization’: continuity and change in Romano-British onomastics: a study of the epigraphic record with particular reference to Bath”, *Britannia* 38: 35-61.
- Muñoz, V. (2005), “La *interpretatio romana* del dios prerromano Bandue”, *Veleia* 22: 145-152.
- Munro, J.A.R. (1926), “Xerxes’ Invasion of Greece”, in *The Persian Empire and the West, Cambridge Ancient History*, Vol. IV, Cambridge, 268-316.
- Nascimento, A. A. (1990), “Poggio e o seu interesse por códices de Alcobça”, *Revista da Faculdade de Letras de Lisboa* 13-14: 37-40.
- Nascimento, A. A. (1993), “As librerias dos príncipes de Avis”, *Biblos. Revista da Faculdade de Letras* (Coimbra). *Actas do Congresso Comemorativo do 6º Centenário do Infante D. Pedro* (25 a 27 de Novembro de 1992) 69: 265-287.
- Nascimento, A. A. (1995), “La réception des auteurs classiques dans l’espace culturel portugais: une question ouverte”, in C. Leonardi, B. Munk Olsen (eds.), *The Classical Tradition in the Middle Ages and Renaissance*, Spoleto, 47-56.
- Nascimento, A. A. (1997), “Traduzir, verbo de fronteira nos contornos da Idade Média”, in C. Almeida Ribeiro, M. Madureira (eds.), *O género do texto medieval*, Lisboa, 113-138.
- Nascimento, A. A. (1999), *Cister. Os documentos primitivos. No 9.º Centenário da fundação de Cister* (1999). Introdução, tradução e notas de Aires A. Nascimento, Lisboa.
- Navarro Caballero, M. (2003), “Mujer de notable: representación y poder en las ciudades de la España imperial”, in S. Armani, B. Martineau-Hurlet, A. U. Stylow, (eds.), *Acta antiqua Complutensia IV. Epigrafía y sociedad en Hispania durante el Alto Imperio: estructuras sociales*, Alcalá de Henares, 119-127.
- Nemeti, S. (1998), “Cultul lui Sucellus–Dis Pater și al Nantosueltei–Proserpina în Dacia romană”, *EphemNapoc* 8: 95-121.
- Neumann, G., Untermann, J. (eds.) (1980), *Die Sprachen im Römischen Reich der Kaiserzeit. Beihefte der Bonner Jahrbücher* 40, Bonn.
- Nicosia, F. (ed.) (1990), *Un decennio di ricerche a Roselle. Statue e ritratti*, Firenze.
- Nunes, E., Albuquerque, M. (1968), “Parecer do doutor ‘Valasco di Portogallo’ sobre o beneplácito régio (Florença, 1954)”, in V. Rau (ed.), *Do tempo e da história*, Lisboa, t. 2, 97-139.
- Ober, J. (1989), *Mass and Elite in Democratic Athens. Rhetoric, Ideology, and the Power of the People*, Princeton.
- Odiot, T. (2004), “Le site du Molard à Donzère”, in Brun, J.-P., Poux, M., Tchernia, A. (eds.), *Le vin. Nectar des Dieux. Génies des Hommes*, Gollion, 202-203.

- Oelmann, F. (1914), *Die Keramik des Kastells Niederbieber*, Frankfurt.
- Ohly, D. (1976), *Die Aegineten: die Marmorskulpturen des Tempels der Aphaia auf Aegina*. (a) I. Die Ostgiebelgruppe. München. (b) II. Die Westgiebelgruppe. III. Altarplatzgruppen, Akrotere, etc, München.
- Olteanu, T. (2008), “El culto a Victoria y la *interpretatio* indígena en el Occidente de Hispania, Gallia y el norte de Britania”, *BVallad* 74: 197-224.
- Ors, A. de (1953), *Epigrafía jurídica de la España romana*, Madrid.
- Pacaut, M. (1993), *Les moines blancs. Histoire de l'Ordre de Cîteaux*, Paris.
- Pallottino, M. (1952), “El problema de las relaciones entre Cerdeña e Iberia en la antigüedad prerromana”, *Ampurias* 14: 137-155.
- Pancieria, S. (2003), “Umano, sovraumano o divino? Le divinità augustee e l'imperatore a Roma”, in L. de Blois, P. Erdkamp, O. Hekster, G. De Kleijn, S. Mols, (eds.), *The Representation and Perception of Roman Imperial Power. Proceedings of the Third Workshop of the International Network Impact of Empire (Roman Empire c. 200 B.C. – A.D. 476)*, Amsterdam, 219-239.
- Paparelli, G. (1973), *Feritas, humanitas, diuinitas. L'essenza umanistica del Rinascimento*, Napoli.
- Parker, V. (1988), “Τύραννος. The semantics of a political concept from Archilochus to Aristotle”, *Hermes* 126. 2: 145-172.
- Patillon, M. (ed.) (2002), *Pseudo-Aelius Aristide, Arts rhétoriques*, Paris.
- Pekary, T. (1968), *Untersuchungen zu den römischen Reichsstraßen*, Bona.
- Pellegrini, D. P. M. (2003), *Le Grandi Storie dell'Auto*, vol. 2, *Alfa Romeo*, 35-35.
- Pelling, Ch. (2002), “Speech and action: Herodotus' Debate on the Constitutions”, *PCPhS* 48: 123-158.
- Peña Cervantes, Y. (2010), *Torcularia. La producción de vino y aceite en Hispania. Catálogo de yacimientos analizados en cedé* (Sèrie documenta 149), Tarragona.
- Pensa, M. (1979), “Genesi e sviluppo dell'arco onorario nella documentazione numismática”, *Studi sull'Arco Onorario Romano*, Roma, 19-27.
- Peres, D. (1952), *História de Portugal*, II, Porto.
- Pérez Martin, A. (1979), *Proles Aegidiana. I. Introducción. Los Colegiales desde 1368 a 1500*, Bolonia.
- Pérez Martin, A. (1999), *Españoles en el Alma Mater Studiorum. Profesores hispanos en Bolonia (de fines del siglo XII a 1799)*, Murcia.
- Pérez Ruiz, F. (1984), “El justo es feliz y el injusto desgraciado, justicia y felicidad en la República de Platon”, *Pensamiento* 40, 159: 257-295.
- Petrarca, F. (1581), *Francisci Petrarcae Florentini Opera*. Basileae, per Sebastianum Henricpetri.

- Petrarca, F. (1942), *Epistolae familiares*, in V. Rossi (ed.), *Le Familiari*, Firenze.
- Petri, Ch. (1989), “La politique de Constance II: un premier ‘césaropapisme’ ou l’*imitatio Constantini*?” in A. Dihle (coord.), *L’église et l’empire au IV siècle*, Genève, 113-178.
- Pfeiffer, R. (1949-1951), *Callimachus*, 2 vols., Oxford.
- Pflaum, H.G. (1976), *Inscriptions latines de l’Algérie*, t. II, vol. II, *Inscriptions de la Confédération cirtéenne, de Cuicul et de la tribu des Suburbures*, Alger.
- Pflug, H. (1941), *As auto-estradas do Reich*, Berlim.
- Pharr, C. et alii (2008), *The Theodosian Code and Novels and the Sirmundian Constitution. Translation, commentary and bibliography*, Union (NJ).
- Piana, C. (1976), *Nuovi documenti sull’Università di Bologna e sul Collegio di Spagna*, I-II, Bologna, Zaragoza.
- Pick, B. (1898), *Die antiken Münzen Nordgriechenlands I, 2. Die antiken Münzen von Dacien und Moesien*, Berlin.
- Piganiol, A. (1972, 2ª ed.), *L’empire chrétien*, Paris.
- Pina, R. de (1977), *Chronica do Senhor Rey D. Affonso V*, cap. CXXXV “Das feições costumes e virtudes do Yfante Don Pedro”, in M. L. de Almeida (Intro. e Revisão), *Crônicas de Rui de Pina*, Porto.
- Pinheiro Futre, M. P. (2006), “Do Mito à Utopia: viagem ao mundo do imaginário grego” in *Actas do V Congresso da APEC – Antiguidade Clássica e nós: Herança e Identidade Cultural*, Braga, 569-581.
- Pinho, S. T. (1999), “Os Príncipes de Avis e o Pré- Humanismo Português”, in *Raízes Greco-Latinas da Cultura Portuguesa. Actas do I Congresso da APEC*, Coimbra, 99-133.
- Pinto, Frei H. (1952), “Diálogo da justiça”, in *Imagem da vida cristã*, I, Lisboa.
- Pippidi, D. M. (1971), *I Greci nel Basso Danubio dall’età arcaica alla conquista romana*, Mailand.
- Pirling, R. (1993), “Ein Trierer Spruchbecher mit ungewöhnlicher Inschrift aus Krefeld-Gellep”, *Germania* 71: 387-404.
- Podlecki, A. J. (1976), “Athens and Aegina”, *Historia* 25.4: 396-413.
- Poenaru Bordea, G. (1979), “Les statères ouest-pontiques de type Alexandre le Grand et Lysimaque”, *RBNS* 125: 37-51.
- Prag, J. R. W. (2002), “Epigraphy by numbers: Latin and the epigraphic culture in Sicily”, in A. E. Cooley (ed.), *Becoming Roman, Writing Latin? Literacy and Epigraphy in the Roman West. JRA Suppl. Ser.* 48: 15-31.
- Preda, C., Popescu, E., Diaconu, P. (1962), “Săpăturile arheologice de la Mangalia (Callatis)”, *Materiale* 8: 439-455.
- Pressouyre, L. (1990), *Le rêve cistercien*, Paris.

- Price, S. R. F. (1984), *Rituals and Power. The Roman Imperial Cult in Asia Minor*, Cambridge.
- Privitera, G. A. (1988), “Pindaro, *Nem.* III 1-5 e l'acqua di Egina”, *QUCC* 58: 63-70.
- Puerta Torres, C. (1995), *Los miliarios de la Vía de la Plata*, 1-2, Madrid.
- Quadrino, D. (2007), *Una nuova iscrizione onoraria di Adriano e il Sebasteion di Kestros in Cilicia Tracheia*, Tivoli.
- Radnoti Alföldi, M., Rasbach, G. (1999), “Zur Frage der interpretatio Romana“, in *Festschrift für Günter Smolla*, Wiesbaden, 597-605.
- Raepsaet-Charlier, M. Th. (1975), “La datation des inscriptions latines dans les provinces occidentales de l'Empire Romain d'après les formules « In H(onorem) D(omus) D(ivinae) » et « Deo, Deae »”, in *ANRW II* 3: 232-282.
- Raepsaet-Charlier, M. Th. (2005), “Les sacerdoces des femmes sénatoriales sous le Haut-Empire”, in M.-F. Baslez, F. Prévot (eds.), *Prosopographie et histoire religieuse. Actes du colloque tenu en l'Université Paris XII-Val de Marne le 27 & 28 octobre 2000*, Paris, 283-304.
- Ramalho, A. C. (1985), *Latim Renascentista em Portugal (Antologia)*, Coimbra.
- Rapp, Cl. (2005), *Holy Bishops in Late Antiquity, The nature of Christian Leadership in an age of transition*, Berkeley.
- Rau, V. (1969), “Italianismo na cultura jurídica portuguesa do século XV”, *Revista Portuguesa de História* 12.1: 185-206.
- Rau, V. (1973), “Studenti ed eruditi portoghesi in Italia nel secolo XV”, *Estudos Italianos em Portugal* 36: 7-73.
- Rawlinson, H. G. (1916), *Intercourse between India and the Western World from the Earliest Times to the Fall of Rome*, Cambridge.
- Rebelo, D. L. (1951), *Do governo da república pelo rei (de república gubernanda per regem)*, reprodução fac-similada da edição de 1496, Introdução e notas de A. M. de Sá, Lisboa.
- Rebelo, L. de S. (1983), *A concepção do poder em Fernão Lopes*, Lisboa.
- Rebuffat, R. (2007), “Pour un corpus des bilingues punico-libyques et latino-libyques”, in M. H. Fantar (ed.), *Osмосe etnho-culturelle en Méditerranée*, Tunis, 183-242.
- Regra do Patriarca S. Bento* (1992), Edições “Ora & Labora”, Singeverga.
- Rhodes, P. J. (1993), *A Commentary on the Aristotelian ATHENAION POLITEIA*, Oxford.
- Rhodes, P. J. (2006), *A History of the Classical Greek World 478-323 BC*, Molden.
- RIB = Collingwood, R. G. (1965), *The Roman inscriptions of Britain. I. Inscriptions on stone*, Oxford.

- RIG = P.-M. Duval (ed.), *Recueil des inscriptions gauloises*, Paris 1985-. I: M. Lejeune, *Textes gallo-grecs*, 1985; II.1: M. Lejeune, *Textes gallo-étrusques. Textes gallo-latins sur pierre*, 1988; II.2: P.-Y. Lambert, *Textes gallo-latins sur instrumentum*, 2002; III: P.-M. Duval y G. Pinault, *Les calendriers (Coligny, Villards d'Héria)*, 1988; IV: J.-B. Colbert de Beaulieu y B. Fischer, *Les légendes monétaires*, 1998.
- Ripollés, P. P. (2004), "Coinage and identity in the Roman provinces: Spain", in Ch. Howgego, V. Heuchert, A. Burnett (eds.), *Coinage and identity in the Roman provinces*, Oxford, 79-93.
- Ripollés, P. P., Velaza, J. (2002), "Saguntum, colonia latina", *ZPE* 141: 285-294.
- Rodgers, B. (1989), "The Metamorphosis of Constantine", *CQ* 39.1: 233-246.
- Rodrigues, M. A. (1993), "O infante D. Pedro e a Universidade", *Biblos. Revista de Faculdade de Letras (Coimbra). Actas do Congresso Comemorativo do 6º Centenario do Infante D. Pedro (25 a 7 de Novembro de 1992)* 69: 345-362.
- Rodrigues, N. S. (2007), "Entre Europa e Io: elementos orientais na arte grega arcaica e clássica", in J. A. Ramos, L. M. Araújo, A. Ramos dos Santos (eds.), *Arte Pré-Clássica. Colóquio Comemorativo dos Vinte Anos do Instituto Oriental da Faculdade de Letras da Universidade de Lisboa*, Lisboa, 323-346.
- Rodríguez, P., Díez de Pinos, E. (2014), "Nueva inscripción celtibérica en piedra de El Pueyo de Belchite (Zaragoza)", *Palaeohispanica* 14: 245-262.
- Rodríguez Colmenero, A., Ferrer Sierra, S., Álvarez Asorey, R. (2004), *Miliários e outras inscrições viarias romanas do noroeste hispânico*. Santiago de Compostela.
- Rocha Pereira, M. H. (1981), "O mais antigo texto europeu de teoria política", *Nova Renascença* 1: 364-370.
- Rocha Pereira, M. H. (1990), "O 'Diálogo dos Persas' em Heródoto", *Estudos Portugueses. Homenagem a António José Saraiva*, Lisboa, 351-362.
- Rocha Pereira, M. H. (2003), *Hélade. Antologia da Cultura Grega*, Asa, Porto.
- Rocha Pereira, M. H. (2008, 8ª ed.), *Sófocles: Antígona*, Coimbra.
- Rocha Pereira, M. H. (2009, 10ª ed.), *Hélade*, Lisboa, Guimarães.
- Rocha Pereira, M. H. (2012), *Estudos de História da Cultura Clássica*, vol.1 – *Cultura Grega*, Lisboa.
- Roldán Hervás, J. (1975), *Itineraria Hispana. Fuentes antiguas para el estudio de las vías romanas en la Península Ibérica*, Madrid.
- Röllig, W. (1980), "Das Punische im Römischen Reich", in G. Neumann, J. Untermann (eds.), *Die Sprachen im Römischen Reich der Kaiserzeit. Bonner Jahrbücher des Rheinischen Landesmuseums in Bonn im Landschaftsverband*

- Rheinland und des Vereins von Altertumsfreunden im Rheinlande* 40), Köln, 285-299.
- Romano, E. (2006-2009), “Le tombe “a *cupa*” in Italia e nel Mediterraneo. Tipologia architettonica, committenza e rituale”, *StC/O* 52: 149-219.
- Romilly, J. de (1959), “Le classement des constitutions d’Hérodote à Aristote”, *REG* 72: 81-99.
- Rose, C. B. (1997), *Dynastic Commemoration and Imperial Portraiture in the Julio-Claudian Period*, Cambridge.
- Rosenthal, F. (1936), *Die Sprache der palmyrenischen Inschriften und ihre Stellung innerhalb des Aramäischen*, Leipzig.
- Rosivach, V. J. (1977), “Earthborns and Olympians: the *parodos* of the *Ion*”, *CQ* 27. 2: 284-294.
- Rosivach, V. J. (1988), “The Tyrant in Athenian Democracy”, *QUCC* 59: 43-57.
- Rossillon, Ph. (ed.) (1995), *Atlas de la langue française*, Paris.
- Rossiter, J. J. (1978), *Roman Farm Buildings in Italy* (BAR int. Ser. 52), Oxford.
- Rössler, O. (1980), “Libyen von der Cyrenaica bis zur Mauretania Tingitana”, in G. Neumann, J. Untermann (eds.), *Die Sprachen im Römischen Reich der Kaiserzeit. (Bonner Jahrbücher des Rheinischen Landesmuseums in Bonn im Landschaftsverband Rheinland und des Vereins von Altertumsfreunden im Rheinlande 40)*. Köln, 267-284.
- Rubenstein, L. (2004), “Ionia”, in M. H Hansen, T. H. Nielsen (eds.), *An Inventory of Archaic and Classical poleis*, Oxford, 1053-1107.
- Rucquoi, A. (2003), “Rois et princes portugais chez les auteurs castillans du XV^{ème} siècle», *Península. Revista de Estudos Ibéricos. Entre Portugal e Espanha. Relações Culturais (séculos XV- XVIII)*. In *Honorem Jose Adriano de Freitas Carvalho*, 0: 39-51.
- Ruggini, L. C. (1989), “Felix Temporum Reparatio”, in A. Dihle (coord.), *Realtà socio-economica in movimento durante un ventennio di regno (Costanzo II Augusto, 337-361 d.C.)*, *L’eglise et l’empire au IV siècle*, Genève, 179-243.
- Rüpke, J. (2005), *Fasti sacerdotum. Die Mitglieder der Priesterchaften und das sakrale Funktionspersonal römischer, griechischer, orientalischer und jüdisch-christlicher Kulte in der Stadt Rom von 300 v. Chr. bis 499 n. Chr.*, Wiesbaden.
- Rusjaeva, A., Vinogradov, Ju. G., (2000), “Apollon Ietros. Herrscher von Istros”, in A. Avram, M. Babeş (eds.), *Olbia, Civilisation grecque et cultures antiques périphériques. Hommages à P. Alexandrescu à son 70^e anniversaire*, Bucarest, 229-234.
- Rutishauer, B. (2012), *Athens and the Cyclades. Economic Strategies 540-314 BC*, Oxford.
- Sabbadini, R. (1905), *Le scoperte dei codici latini e greci ne’ secoli XIV e XV*, Florencia.

- Sabbadini, R. (1914), *Le scoperte dei codici latini e greci ne' secoli XIV e XV*, Florencia.
- Saddington, D.B. (1999), "Roman soldiers, local gods and interpretatio Romana in Roman Germany", *ActaCl* 42:155-169.
- Salazar, A. M. (1976), "El impacto humanístico de las misiones diplomáticas de Alonso de Cartagena en la Corte de Portugal entre medioevo y renacimiento (1421-31)", in A. D. Deyermond (ed.), *Medieval Hispanic Studies presented to Rita Hamilton*, Londres, 215-226.
- Salinas, M. (1995), "Los inicios de la epigrafía en Lusitania oriental", in F. Beltrán (ed.), *Roma y el naámiento de la cultura epigráfica en Occidente*, Zaragoza, 281-291.
- Salway, B. (2001), "Travel, Itineraria and Tabellaria", in C. Adams and R. Laurence (eds.), *Travel and Geography in the Roman Empire*, Londres, Nova Iorque, 22-66.
- Santo Agostinho (2009 12ª ed.), *A cidade de Deus*, trad. de Oscar Paes Leme, 2 v., Vozes, Petrópolis, São Paulo.
- Santos, M. J. A. (1998), *Vida e morte de um mosteiro cisterciense. S. Paulo de Almaziva – Séculos XIII-XV*, Lisboa.
- Saumagne, C. (1928), "Iter populo debetur", *Révue d'Histoire, de Littérature et d'Histoire Anciennes* 54: 320-353.
- Scheer, T. S. (2003), "The Past in na Hellenistic Present: Myth and Local Tradition", in A. Erskine (ed.), *A Companion to the Hellenistic World*, Oxford, 216-231.
- Scheid, J. (2015), "Les Augustea et le culte des empereurs. Réflexions sur les rites célébrés dans ces lieux de culte", in P. Gros, E. Marin, M. Zink (eds.), *Auguste, son époque et l'Augusteum de Narona. Actes du colloque organisé à l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Letres et l'Université Catholique de Croatie (Zagreb) 12 décembre 2014*, 17-30, Paris.
- Schilardi, G. (ed.) (1997), *Filostrato. Immagini*, Lecce.
- Schmidt, R. (1980), "Die Ostgrenze von Armenien über Mesopotamien, Syrien bis Arabien", in G. Neumann, J. Untermann (eds.), *Die Sprachen im Römischen Reich der Kaiserzeit. (Bonner Jahrbücher des Rheinischen Landesmuseums in Bonn im Landschaftsverband Rheinland und des Vereins von Altertumsfreunden im Rheinlande 40)*. Köln, 187-214.
- Schmidt, Th., Fleury, P. (2011), *Perceptions of the Second Sophistic and its Times. Regards sur la seconde sophistique et son époque*, Toronto, Buffalo, London.
- Schwartz, J. (1960), *Pseudo-Hesioda: recherches sur la composition, la diffusion et la disparition ancienne d'oeuvres attribuées à Hésiode*, Leiden.
- Scott, K. (1936), *The Imperial Cult under the Flavians*, Stuttgart.
- Sealey, R. (1976), *A history of Greek city-states 700 -338 B. C.* Berkeley.

- Seignobos, Ch. (1969), *Histoire sincère de la nation française*, Paris.
- Semerari, L. (2000), *Aula Magna Università degli Studi di Bari*, Bari.
- Sergent, B. (2006), “Sucellus et le tonneau”, in *Anthropology of the Indo-European World and Material Culture. Proceedings of the 5th International Colloquium of Anthropology of the Indo-European World and Comparative Mythology*, Budapest, 61-80.
- Serra, J. C. da (1972), *Academia Real das Sciencias de Lisboa*, II, cap. VII, Lisboa.
- Sforza, W. C. (1951), “Osservazioni sul ‘De nobilitate legum’ di Coluccio Salutati”, in E. Castelli (ed.), *Umanesimo e Scienza politica (Atti del congresso Internazionale di Studi Umanistici, Roma-Firenze, 1949)*, Milano.
- Shapiro, H.A. (1993), *Personification in Greek art: the representation of abstract concepts 600-400 b.C.*, Zürich.
- Shaw, M. H. (1982), “The ἦθος of Theseus in ‘The Suppliant Women’”, *Hermes* 110. 1: 3-19.
- Shorrock, R. (2011), *The Myth of Paganism: Nonnus, Dionysus and the World of Late Antiquity*, Bristol.
- Sigeia, L. (1970), *Dialogue de deux jeunes filles sur la vie de retraite (1552)*, Présenté, traduit et annoté par O. Sauvage (ed.), Paris.
- Sillières, P. (1990), *Les voies de communication de l’Hispanie méridionale*, Paris.
- Silva, N. J. E. G. (1964), *Humanismo e Direito em Portugal no século XVI*, Lisboa.
- Simón, I. (2013), *Los soportes de la epigrafía paleohispánica. Inscripciones sobre piedra, bronce y cerámica*, Zaragoza, Sevilla.
- Siniscalco, P. (2004, 5ª ed.), *Il cammino di Cristo nell’Impero romano*, Roma, Bari.
- Slavazzi, F. (2006), “Il ciclo di rilievi della Kaisersaal del ginnasio di Vedio a Efeso”, in *Iconografia 2005. Immagini e immaginari dell’antichità classica al mondo moderno*, Roma, 235-243
- Smyth, A. C. (2011), *Polis and Personification in Classical Athenian Art*, Leiden.
- Snodgrass, A. M. (1977), *Archaeology and the rise of the Greek state*, Cambridge.
- Snodgrass, A. M. (1980), *Archaic Greece. The age of experiment*, Londres.
- Soares, C. (2008), *Platão. O Político*. Tradução do grego, introdução e notas, Lisboa.
- Soares, C. (2014), “Theoria e práxis política em Heródoto”, *Cuadernos de Filología Clássica: Estudios griegos e indoeuropeus* 24: 57-79.
- Soares, N. C. (1994), *O príncipe ideal no século XVI e a obra de D. Jerónimo Osório*, Coimbra.
- Soares, N. C. (2002), “O infante D. Pedro e a cultura portuguesa”, *Biblos. Revista da Faculdade de Letras* 78:107-128.

- Sodano, A. R. (1970), *Porphyrii Quaestionum Homericarum Liber I*, Napoli.
- Solas, J. G. (2008), “Escrito sobre la ciudad”, *Pensar la publicidad*, II, n. 2: 37-62.
- Sordi, M. (1965), *Il cristianesimo e Roma*, Bologna.
- Sordi, M. (1984), *I cristiani e l'impero romano*, Milano.
- Soria, A. (1956), *Los humanistas de la Corte de Alfonso el Magnánimo (según los epistolarios)*, Granada.
- Sousa, D. A. C. de (1946-1954), *Memória dos livros do uso del Rey D. Duarte*, in *Provas da história genealógica da casa real portuguesa*, tomo I, liv. III, Coimbra.
- Sousa, R., Fialho, M. C., Haggag, M., Rodrigues, N. S. (2013), *Alexandrea ad Aegyptum: The Legacy of Multiculturalism in Antiquity*, Lisboa.
- Spickermann, W. (1997), “Aspekte einer neuen regionalen Religion und der Prozess der “interpretatio“ im römischen Germanien, Rätien und Noricum“, in *Römische Reichsreligion und Provinzialreligion*, Tübingen, 145-167.
- Spyridakis, S. (1968), “Zeus is Dead: Euhemerus and Crete”, *CJ* 63: 337-340.
- Stafford, E., Herrin, J. (eds.) (2005), *Personification in the Greek World from Antiquity to Byzantium*, Burlington.
- Statuta capitulorum generalium ordinis Cisterciensis ab anno 1116 ad annum 1786 edidit Josephus M.^{ia} Canivez* (1933-1941), 8 vols., Louvain.
- Stefan, A. (2005), “Le titre de *filius Augustorum* de Maximin et Constantin et la théologie de la tétrarchie”, in M.-F. Baslez, F. Prévot (eds.), *Prosopographie et histoire religieuse. Actes du colloque tenu en l'Université Paris XII-Val de Marne le 27 & 28 octobre 2000*, Paris, 329-349
- Stefani, G. (1986), “I cippi a botte della provincia Sardinia”, *Nuovo bullettino Archeologico Sardo* 3: 115-160.
- Stefani, G. (1988), “Cippi a botte nella basilica di S. Saturnino a Cagliari”, *Quaderni della Soprintendenza archeologica per le province di Cagliari e Oristano* 5: 167-175.
- Stegmann, A. (1977), “La place de la praxis dans la notion de ‘raison d’État’”, in *Théorie et pratique politiques à la Renaissance*, Paris.
- Steinbrecher, M. (1985), *Der Delisch-Attischen Seebund und die Athenisch-Spartanischen Beziehungen in der Kimonischen Ära (478/77 – 462/1)*, Berlin.
- Stemmer, K (ed.) (1995), *Standorte – Kontext und Funktion antiker Skulptur*, Berlin.
- Sterckx, C. (2008), “Sucellos et le casque d’Hadès”, in *Philomythia. Mélanges offerts à Alain Moreau*, Monts, 223-229.
- Stern, J. (1996), *Palaephatus. Peri Apiston. On Unbelievable Tales*, Wauconda.

- Stern, J. (1999), "Rationalizing Myth: Methods and Motives in Palaephatus" in R. Buxton, R. (ed.), *From Myth to Reason? Studies in the Development of Greek Thought*, Oxford, 215-222.
- Stewart, A. (1990), *Greek Sculpture: an exploration*, New Haven, Yale.
- Storey, I. C. (2003), *Eupolis poet of old comedy*, Oxford.
- Stowe Mead, G. R. (1901), *Apollonius of Tyana, the Philosopher-Reformer of the First Century A.D.*, London.
- Strassler, R. B. (ed.) (2007), *Landmark Herodotus: The Histories*, New York.
- Strassler, R.B. (ed.) (2009), *Landmark Herodotus: The Histories*, New York.
- Strootman, R. (2010), "Literature and the Kings", in Clauss, J., Cuypers, M. (eds.), *A Companion to Hellenistic Literature*, Malden, Oxford, 30-45.
- Suberbiola Martínez, J. (1987), *Nuevos concilios hispano-romanos de los siglos III y IV. La colección de Ekvira*, Málaga.
- Szabó, Á. (2007), *Daciai papság*, Budapest.
- Szabó, Á. (2008), "Sulla questione dello statuto giuridico dei sacerdoti provinciali durante il principato. Studio preliminare", *Iustum Aequum Salutare* 4: 71-81.
- Tamerl, I. (2008), *Das Holzfass in der römischen Antike mit einer Studie zu Fassfunden in Raetien*, Diplomarbeit presso l'Università di Innsbruck, consultabile presso la Universitäts- und Landesbibliothek Innsbruck DG43696.
- Tate, J. (1927), "The Beginnings of Greek Allegory", *CR* 41.6: 214-215.
- Tchernia, A. (1986), *Le vin de l'Italie romaine. Essai d'histoire économique d'après les amphores* (BEFAR 261), Rome.
- Teive, D. de (1786), *Epodos Que Cont'em Sentenças Uteis A todos os Homens, A's quaes se acrescentão Regras para a boa educação de hum príncipe*. Trad. no vulgar em verso solto por Francisco de Andrade (conforme à ed. de Lisboa, 1565), Lisboa, Na Of. Patr. de Francisco Luiz Ameno.
- Temporini, H. (1978), *Die Frauen am Hofe Trajans. Ein Beitrag zur Stellung der Augustae im Principat*, Berlin, New York.
- Thomson de Grummond, N. (2006), *Etruscan Myth. Sacred History, and Legend*, Philadelphia.
- Tomlin, R. S. O. (1987), "Was ancient British Celtic ever a written language? Two texts from Roman Bath", *Bulletin of the Board of Celtic Studies* 34: 18-25.
- Topál, J. (1990), "Der Import der sogenannten Moselweinkeramik in Pannonien", *ReiCretActa* 27-28: 177-184.
- Tortorici, E. (1975), *Castra Albana. Forma Italia, Regio I*, Roma.
- Touchard, J. (1959), *Histoire des idées politiques*, I. Paris [trad. port. Lisboa, 1970].

- Tranoy, A. (1981), *La Galice romaine*, Paris.
- Tuchelt, K. (1981), “Zum Problem Kaisareion-Sebasteion. Eine Frage zu den Anfängen des römischen Kaiserkultes”, *MDAI*, 31 : 167-186.
- Ulbert, G. (1959), “Römische Holzfässer aus Regensburg”, *Bayerische Vorgeschichtsblätter* 24: 6-29.
- Ullman, B. L. (1963), *The humanism of Coluccio Salutati*, Padova.
- Ullmann, W. (1980), *Radici del Rinascimento* (tr. ital.), Roma, Bari.
- Unz, R.K. (1985), “The Surplus of the Athenian *phoros*”, *GRBS* 26: 21-42.
- Ureña Prieto, M. H. (2001), *Dicionário de Literatura Grega*, Lisboa.
- Valiño, A. (1999), “La cerveza en las fuentes romanas. Base textual y fijación de su importancia”, *AncHistB* 13: 60-71.
- Van Haepere, F. (2002), “Le collège pontifical (3ème s. a.C.-4ème s. p.C.)”, *Études de Philologie, d'Archéologie et d'Histoire Anciennes* 39: 11-42.
- Varner, E.R. (2004), *Mutilation and transformation. Damnatio memoriae and Roman Imperial Portraiture*, Leiden, Boston.
- Várzeas, M. I. O. (2013), “Callimachus and the New Paths of Myth”, in R. Sousa et alii (coord.) *Alexandrea ad Aegyptum: the legacy of multiculturalismo in antiquity*. Lisboa.
- Velaza, J. (2003), “Epigrafía ibérica emporitana: bases para una reconsideración”, *Palaeohispanica* 3: 179-192.
- Velaza, J. (2003a), “Las inscripciones monetales”, in P. P. Ripollés, M. del M. Llorens, *Arse-Saguntum. Historia monetaria de la ciudad y su territorio*, Sagunto, 121-148.
- Velaza, J. (2009), “Epigrafía y literacy paleohispánica en territorio vascón”, *Palaeohispanica* 9: 611-622.
- Vergerio, P. P. (1934), “Epistolario di Pier Paolo Vergerio”, in L. Smith (ed.), *Fonti per la storia d' Italia*, vol. 74, Roma, 436-445.
- Vierneisel, K., Zanker, P. (1979), *Die Bildnisse des Augustus: Herrscherbild und Politik in kaiserlichen Rom*, München.
- Villar, F., Pedrero, R. (2001), “Arroyo de la Luz III”, *Palaeohispanica* 1: 235-274.
- Vinogradov, J. G. (2000), “Heilkundige Eleaten in den Schwarzmeergründungen”, in M. Dreher (ed.), *Bürgersinn und staatliche Macht. Festschrift für Wolfgang Schuller zum 65. Geburtstag*, Konstanz, 133-149.
- Vittinghoff, F. (1951), *Römische Kolonisation und Bürgerrechtspolitik unter Caesar und Augustus*, Wiesbaden.
- Vives, J., Marín, T., Martínez, G. (1963), *Concilios visigóticos e hispano-romanos*, Madrid, Barcelona.

- Voragine, T. (2004), *Legenda Áurea*. Apresentação do Cardeal Dom José Saraiva Martins e introdução do Doutor Aníbal Pinto de Castro. Tomo Segundo, Porto.
- Waern, I. (1951), ΓΗΣ ΟΣΤΕΑ. *The Kenning in Pre-Christian Poetry*, Uppsala.
- Wallace, M. B., Figueira, T. J. (2010), “Notes on the Island *Phoros*”, *ZPE* 172: 65-69.
- Wallace-Hadrill, A. (2005), “*Mutatas formas*: The Augustan Transformation of Roman Knowledge”, in K. Galinsky (ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to the Age of Augustus*, Cambridge, 55-84.
- Wallinga, H. T. (2005), *Xerxes' Greek Adventure. The Naval Perspective*, Leiden.
- Walter, H. (1993), *Ägina: die archäologische Geschichte einer griechischen Insel*, München.
- Walters, K. R. (1981), “Four Hundred Athenian Ships at Salamis?”, *RhM* 124: 199-203.
- Wankel, H. (1983), “Thukydides 1,74,1 und die Schiffszahlen von Salamis”, *ZPE* 52: 63-66.
- Wells, J. (1923), *Studies in Herodotus*, Oxford.
- Wesseling, P. (ed.) (1735), “Itinerarium Antonini Augusti”, *Vetera Romanorum Itineraria*, Amesterdão.
- West, M. L. (1985), *The Hesiodic Catalogue of Women: Its Nature, Structure, and Origins*, Oxford.
- Westrem, S. D. (2001), *The Hereford Map. A Transcription and Translation of the Legend with Commentary*, Turnhout.
- Williams, D. (1987), “Aegina, Aphaia-Tempel XI: the pottery from the second limestone temple and the later history of the sanctuary”, *AA*: 629-680.
- Williamson, G. (2004), “Aspects of identity”, in C. Howgego, V. Heuchert, A. Burnett (eds.), *Coinage and Identity in the Roman Provinces*, Oxford, 19-27.
- Winiarczyk, M. (2013), *The «Sacred History» of Euhemerus of Messene*, Berlin.
- Witschel, Chr. (1995a), “Römische Tempelkultbilder und Römische Kaiserstatuen als Tempelkultbilder”, in K. Stemmer, (ed.), *Standorte. Kontext und Funktion antiker Skulptur; Ausstellungskatalog Abgufssammlung*, Berlin, 250-265.
- Witschel, Chr. (1995b), “Statuen auf römischen Platzanlagen unter besonderer Berücksichtigung von Timgad (Algerien)”, in K. Stemmer (ed.), *Standorte. Kontext und Funktion antiker Skulptur; Ausstellungskatalog Abgufssammlung*, Berlin, 332-358.
- Witschel, Chr. (2002), “Zum Problem der Identifizierung von munizipalen Kaiserkultstätten”, *Klio* 84: 114-124.

- Wlosok, A. (ed.) (1978), *Römischer Kaiserkult*, Darmstadt.
- Wojciechowski, P. (2002), “Il culto di Beleno ad Aquileia romana. Origini, interpretatio Romana e la cosiddetta rinascita celtica”, in *Gli echi della terra. Presenze celtiche in Friuli. Dati materiali e momenti dell'immaginario. Convegno di studi, Castello di Gorizia, 5 - 7 ottobre 2001*, Pisa, 29-35.
- Woodard, R. (ed.) (2007), *The Cambridge Companion to Greek Mythology*, Cambridge.
- Woodhead, A. G. (1962), *The Greeks in the West*. London. (Trad. port., *Os Gregos no Ocidente*).
- Wolf, G. (1996), “Monumental writing and the expansion of the Roman society in the Early Empire”, *JRS* 86: 22-39.
- Wolf, G. (2002), “Afterword. How the Latin West was won”, in A. Cooney (ed.), *Becoming Roman, writing Latin? Literacy and Epigraphy in the Roman West*, *JRA Suppl. Ser.* 48: 181-188.
- Yatromanolakis, Y. (2005), “*Poleos erastes*. The Greek city as the beloved”, in E. Stafford, J. Herrin (eds.), *Personification in the Greek World: From Antiquity to Byzantium*, London, 267-284.
- Young, T. Cuyler (1980), “480/479 B.C. – A Persian Perspective”, *Iranica Antiqua* 15: 213-39.
- Zamora, J. A. (2005), “La práctica de escribir entre los primeros fenicios peninsulares y la introducción de la escritura entre los pueblos paleohispánicos”, *Palaeohispanica* 5: 155-19.
- Zanichelli, G. Z. (2005), “Il mito di Troia nell'immaginario medievale”, in G. Burzacchini (coord.), *Troia tra realtà e leggenda*, Parma.
- Zanker, P. (1983), *Provinzielle Kaiserporträts. Zur Rezeption der Selbstdarstellung der Princeps*, München.
- Zaoli, G. (1912), “Lo Studio bolognese e papa Martino V”, *Studi e Memorie per la storia dell'Università di Bologna* I – série v. III: 105-188.
- Zecchini, G. (ed.) (2015), *L'Augusteum di Narona. Atti della Giornata di Studi. Roma 31 maggio 2013*, (Centro ricerche e documentazione sull'antichità classica, monografie, 37), Roma.
- Zimmermann, K. (2000), “‘Αφροδίτη' ἀνεθήκε.....Zu einem Dachziegel mit Votivinschrift”, in A. Avram, M. Babeş (eds), *Olbia, Civilisation grecque et cultures antiques périphériques. Hommages à P. Alexandrescu à son 70^e anniversaire*, Bucarest, 239-251.
- Zurara, G. E. de (1972), *Chronica do Conde Dom Pedro de Meneses*, II, Lisboa.